



Assessment of Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis for Yield Associated Traits in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Pooja Puri ^a, S R Ramgirya ^b, M K Tripathi ^a, R S Solanki ^{a*}
and Shivangi Tare ^b

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Gwalior (MP), India.

^b Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Sehore (MP), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2025/v37i25318>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/131126>

Original Research Article

Received: 10/12/2024
Accepted: 12/02/2025
Published: 18/02/2025

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out during the two successive seasons 2020-21 and 2021-22 at the Crop Research Farm, R.A.K. College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Sehore (MP), India. The present study evaluated genetic variability, heritability, correlation, and path analysis among diverse wheat genotypes for key agronomic traits. Significant differences ($P < 0.01$) were observed among genotypes for all studied traits, indicating substantial genetic variability that can be utilized for selection and hybridization. Phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV) was higher than genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV) for all traits, highlighting environmental influence. High heritability

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ravindrasolankijnkvv@gmail.com;

estimates were recorded for most traits, suggesting strong genetic control, whereas genetic advance as a percentage of the mean was high for traits like the number of effective tillers per plant and 1000-seed weight. Correlation analysis revealed significant positive associations of seed yield per plant with biological yield, 1000-seed weight, and the number of grains per spike at both genotypic and phenotypic levels, emphasizing their importance in yield improvement. Path analysis identified biological yield, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index as key contributors to seed yield, suggesting their prioritization in breeding programs. These findings provide valuable insights for wheat breeders aiming to enhance genetic gains and develop high-yielding wheat varieties.

Keywords: ANOVA; GCV; PCV; heritability; genetic advance; correlation; path.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) having chromosome number $2n=6x=42$, [AABBDD] (hexaploid) is widely cultivated, self-pollinating annual plant belongs to the (Gramineae) family. According to Wani *et al.*, (2018) wheat holds great significance as it is one of the primary cereal crops used as a staple food source worldwide. In terms of global food crops, wheat ranks second after rice (Bhanu *et al.*, 2018). Wheat plays a crucial role in providing approximately 20% of the calories and protein consumed by people worldwide, contributing to the sustenance of around 40% of the global population.

According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2023), global wheat production reached approximately 776.5 mt in 2021. The production was estimated to slightly increase to 778.3 mt in 2022 and then decrease to 770.3 mt in 2023. Wheat holds a crucial position not only as a key crop for food security but also as a valuable cash crop on a global scale (Crespo-Herrera *et al.*, 2018). The importance of wheat is reflected in the significant global trade volume. In the 2023-24 season, world wheat trade reached a record high of 186.6 mt, surpassing the previous season by 1.2 percent or 2.3 mt (FAO, 2023). This highlights the significant role of wheat in meeting global food demands and economic activities.

In order to establish a successful breeding program, the presence of genetic diversity within the population is crucial. Verma *et al.*, 2013 confirm that plants with higher genetic variability are more likely to benefit from favorable hybrid crosses and generate productive recombinants, thus leading to a broader heritability within the population during the process of genetic improvement. Estimation of heritability in combination with genetic advance are usually more useful than heritability alone for forecasting

genetic gain under selection. However, a trait with a high heritability does not always have a high genetic advance (Johnson *et al.*, 1955). Correlation reveals the degree and direction of association at phenotypic and genotypic levels between the yield and its contributing traits. However, it should be noted that the correlation could sometimes fail to give accurate insights into the individual impact of each character on the dependent character. It is understandable that a path analysis would be necessary to determine which characters actually affect seed yield. So path analysis is used to measure the indirect and direct effects of traits (Dhunde *et al.*, 2021). It is impossible to begin an effective breeding programme without first establishing genetic variability using appropriate metrics including GCV and PCV, Genetic advance (GA) and heritability (h^2b) (Salman *et al.*, 2021).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during the two successive seasons 2020-21 and 2021-22 at the Crop Research Farm, R.A.K. College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Sehore (MP). The experiment involved six genetically diverse parent plants that exhibited a wide range of variation. Total of 15 crosses derived from these parents were selected for further Investigation (Table 1). In the Rabi season of 2021-2022, the final experimental material, consisting of the selected crosses, was evaluated using a randomized block design with three replications. Each plot consisted of four rows for parents and F1. Each row was 3m long and 30 cm apart, and the seeds within row were spaced 3.5 cm apart. The sowing was made in two different experimental years by hand dibbling method of seeding each in rows using Randomized complete block design. All recommended cultural practices were considered. The mean value was then determined for analysis over three replications figure in Table 2.

Data were recorded on five individual guarded plants chosen at random from each row. The studied characters were Days to heading, Days to maturity, Plant height (cm), Number of effective tillers/plant, Number of spikes/plant, Number of spikelets/spike, Spike length (cm), Spike weight (g), Number of grains/spike, Biological yield/plant (g), Harvest Index (%), 1000 Seed Weight (g) and Seed yield/plant (g).

The analysis of variance was carried out as per methods suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). Genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV %) and phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV %) were calculated by the method suggested by Burton (1952). In the present study the heritability was calculated in broad sense by the formula as suggested by (Hanson et al., 1956). The estimation of genotypic and phenotypic variances and heritability were used to determine the expected genetic advance as suggested by Johnson et al. (1955).

2.1 Estimating of Correlation

Correlation coefficients among the characters in all possible combination were calculated with the help of procedure given by (Miller et al., 1958). The variance and covariance components were used to compute the correlation coefficients at genotypic and phenotypic level using the following formula.

$$\text{Phenotypic correlation (rp)} = \frac{\text{PCov. xy}}{\sqrt{\text{PVx.PVy}}}$$

$$\text{Genotypic correlation (rg)} = \frac{\text{GCov. xy}}{\sqrt{\text{GVx. GVy}}}$$

$$r_{xy} = \frac{\text{Cov (x, y)}}{\sqrt{V(x)} \times \sqrt{V(y)}}$$

Where,

r_{xy} = Correlation coefficient between character x and y
 $\text{Cov}_{x,y}$ = Co-variance of character x and y
 V_x = Variance of character x, and
 V_y = Variance of character y
 r_p = Phenotypic correlation
 r_g = Genotypic correlation.

2.2 Estimation of Path Analysis

Path analysis splits the correlation coefficient into the measures of direct and indirect

effects and measures contribution of each independent variable on the dependent variable and estimates residual effects. It helps in determining the yield and yield contributing characters.

To estimate various direct and indirect effects, the following equations were used

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1y} &= P_{1y} + r_{12}P_{2y} + r_{13}P_{3y} + \dots + r_{1l}P_{ly} \\ r_{2y} &= r_{2y}P_{1y} + P_{2y} + r_{23}P_{3y} + \dots + r_{2l}P_{ly} \\ r_{ly} &= r_{l1}P_{1y} + r_{l2}P_{2y} + r_{l3}P_{3y} + \dots + P_{ly} \end{aligned}$$

Where,

r_{1y} to r_{ly} = Coefficient of correlation between factor 1 to l and dependent character y
 r_{12} to $r_{l-1,l}$ = Coefficient of correlation among causal factors themselves
 P_{1y} to P_{ly} = Direct effects of characters 1 to l on character y.

2.3 Residual Effect

Residual effect, which measures the contribution of the characters was obtained by:

$$(\text{PRY}) = \sqrt{1 - R^2}$$

Where,

$$R^2 = \sum_{ij} P_{ij}^2 Y + 2 \sum_{i>j} P_{iy} P_{jy} R_{ij}$$

2.4 Estimation of GA and GAM

The genetic advance (GA) for selection intensity (K) at 5% was calculated by the formula suggested by Allard, 1960.

$$\text{GA} = (K) (\sigma_p) (H^2)$$

Where,

GA = Expected genetic advance at 5% selection intensity,
 σ_p = Phenotypic standard deviation
 H^2 = Heritability and K = selection differential (K= 2.063 at 5% selection intensity).
 The genetic advance as percent of the mean (GAM) was calculated by formula given by Johnson et al. Johnson et al., 1955

$$\text{GAM} = \frac{\text{GA} * 100}{X}$$

Where,

GAM = Genetic advance as percent of mean
 GA = Genetic advance at 5% selection intensity
 X = Population Mean

Heritability (H^2) was computed by formula developed by Dewey, 1959

$$H^2 = \frac{\sigma^2_g}{\sigma^2_p} \times 100$$

Where,

σ^2_p = Phenotypic Variance
 σ^2_g = Genotypic Variance
 H^2 = Heritability in broad sense

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significant differences ($P < 0.01$) were observed among the tested genotypes for all the traits investigated viz. Days to heading, Days to maturity, Plant height (cm), Number of effective tillers/plant, Number of spikes/plant, Number of spikelets/spike, Spike length (cm), Spike weight (g), Number of grains/spike, Biological yield/plant (g), Harvest Index (%), 1000 Seed Weight (g) and Seed yield/plant (g) (Table 2). These findings indicate the presence of substantial variability among the genotypes, which can be harnessed through selection and hybridization. The significant differences observed among the genotypes for the studied characteristics justify conducting further genetic analysis (Chaudhary et al., 2023). The substantial genetic variation among the genotypes suggests that they exhibit genetic diversity, providing an excellent opportunity for breeders to select suitable genotypes for specific traits of interest in variety development. A similar result reported by Getachew et al., 2021, Ullah et al., 2021 and Yared et al., 2021 that the analysis of variance revealed significant genetic variability among all traits for the treatments. Table 3 presents the estimated range, minimum and maximum values, along with their corresponding genotypes, mean values, and corresponding standard errors for the thirteen traits examined in wheat genotypes.

3.1 Variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance

Genetic variability parameters viz. GCV, PCV, Heritability (Broad sense), Genetic advance and genetic advance as 5 % of mean tabulated in

Table 4. PCV (Phenotypic coefficient of variance) is higher than GCV (Genotypic coefficient of variance) for all the characters that indicates an influence of environment on traits. Phenotypic coefficient of variation found moderate for Number of spikes/plants, Seed yield /plant, Spike weight, Spike length and Biological yield/plant. The current findings are consistent with the results reported by Upadhyay et al., 2019, who also observed high PCV and GCV for Spike length and Biological yield/plant. Similarly, moderate PCV and GCV was recorded for Number of spikes/ plants, Spike weight, Seed yield /plant, Biological yield/plant and Spike length. Rajput et al., 2019, also reported moderate PCV and GCV Number of spikes/ plant, Seed yield /plant and Spike length and low PCV and GCV were recorded for Days to heading Plant height, Days to maturity, Number of spikelets/spike and Harvest Index. The present result in agreement with Bayisa et al., 2020, Verma et. al., 2013 and Bhanu et. al., 2018.

Heritability serves as a predictor of the traits that parents will pass on to their offspring. Khan et al., 2013 found that selection processes become easier as heritability estimates increased. The heritability (H^2) revealed the extent to which quantitative traits inherited, but it fails to disclose the extent to which genetic gain may be attained by the selection of the ideal plant from the elite populations. Therefore, the combination of heritability and genetic advance is beneficial than either factor alone (Kumar et. al., 2021). In the present investigation high heritability was observed for all the traits except harvest index (%). Upadhyay et al., 2019 and Rajput et al., 2029, documented similar result that high estimates of heritability. These traits exhibit a high degree of heritability, indicating that genetic factors have a strong influence on their expression. Consequently, environmental factors are likely to have a lesser impact on the development of these traits. Low heritability estimated for Harvest index only. Genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM) recorded high Number of effective tillers/plant followed by 1000 grain seed weight, Number of grains per spike and Number of spikes/ plant. Moderate genetic advance as percentage of mean was recorded for Spike weight followed by Seed yield/plant, Spike length and Biological yield/plant. Low genetic advance as percentage of mean were noticed for Days to heading followed by Plant height, Days to maturity, Number of spikelets/spike and Harvest Index. Kumar et al., 2020, Upadhyay et al., 2019,

Rajput et. al., 2019, Baye et. al., 2020 Ayer et. al., 2017 also found similar results.

3.2 Estimation of Correlation

Assessment of the genotypic and phenotypic correlation between various traits tabulated in Table 5a & 5b. The seed yield or economic yield in almost all the crops is referred to as super character, which results from multiplicative interactions of several other characters that are termed as yield components. Thus, genetic architecture of seed yield per plant in wheat as well as other crops is based on the balance or overall net effect produced by various yield components directly or indirectly by interaction with one another. Therefore, identification of important yield components and information about their association with yield and also with each other is very useful for developing efficient selection strategy for evolving high-yielding varieties. The correlation coefficient which provides the measurement of degree of symmetrical association between two variables or characters helps in understanding the nature and magnitude of association among yield and yield components. The phenotypic and genotypic correlations were computed among thirteen characters using data of all the wheat genotypes. In general, the genotypic correlation coefficient values were higher than the phenotypic values. This indicated that how much of phenotypic correlation coefficients are influenced by environment. In the present study, all possible correlation coefficient at genotypic and phenotypic levels among thirteen traits themselves and with seed yield per plant were estimated.

At genotypic level significant positive correlation of seed yield with Biological yield/plant, 1000 Seed Weight and Number of grains/spike. Additionally, there is a positive but non-significant correlation with Harvest Index, Number of spikelets/spike, Number of effective tillers/plant, Spike weight, Spike length and Days to maturity. At Phenotypic level significant positive correlation found with Biological yield/plant, 1000 Seed Weight and Number of grains/spike. Additionally, there is a positive but non-significant correlation with Harvest Index, Number of spikelets/spike, days to maturity, Spike length and Spike weight. Positive correlation values indicates that the values of both variables tend to increase together. These findings corroborate with those of Ullah et al., (2021), Allah et al., (2021), Kumar et al., (2020), Rajput et al., (2019), Verma et al., (2019) and Baye et al., (2020) reported similar

results, where the genotypic correlation coefficients (r_g) were found to be higher than the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficients (r_p) for most of the character combinations. This suggests that the observed correlations between traits are predominantly influenced by genetic factors rather than environmental factors. Genotypic correlations provide valuable insights into the underlying genetic relationships between traits, which can be useful for plant breeders in selecting desirable combinations of traits for crop improvement programs. Indirect selection is more effective than direct selection procedure when the attribute in question has low heritability and/or is not easily and precisely measured. The aim of correlation studies is primarily to know the suitability of various characters for indirect selection because selection for one or more traits results in correlated response for several other traits (Searle, 1965), and the pattern of variation will also be changed (Waddington and Robertson, 1966). Therefore, knowledge of genetic correlation existing between yield and its components is essential.

Phenotypic correlation may be of genotypic and/or environmental origin and provides information about association observed between two characters. For selection purpose phenotypic correlation is of little practical values unless genetic and environmental correlations between pairs of characters are in the same direction when they are estimated separately. Genetic correlation provides a measure of genetic association between characters and is used in selection of one character for the improvement of other, and provides information by themselves (Miller et al., 1958) and will be helpful to the breeder since they are based on transmissible genetic variance (Jerome et al., 1956). Genetic correlation is the net effect of all segregating genes that affects the characters; some are causing positive and negative correlations. The major causes underlying genetic correlation are pleiotropy, linkage and developmentally induced relationship (Adams, 1967; Stebbins, 1950).

3.3 Path Analysis

Merely examining correlations does not offer a comprehensive understanding of how each specific trait contributes to the overall picture. Path analysis, on the other hand, proves valuable in evaluating variables by quantifying their respective contributions and distinguishing between partially indirect and direct sources of association. This analytical approach enables a

Table 1. Parents and crosses of wheat used in the experiment

Parents			
S. No.	Germplasm	S. No.	Germplasm
1	CWYT-614	4	GS -2031
2	HI -1633	5	HI -1634
3	CWYT- 644	6	HI- 8777
Cross Combinations			
S. No.	Combinations	S. No.	Combinations
1	CWYT-614 x CWYT-644	9	CWYT- 644x HI -1633
2	CWYT-614 x HI -1634	10	HI -1634 x GS -2031
3	CWYT-614 x GS -2031	11	HI -1634 x HI- 8777
4	CWYT-614 x HI- 8777	12	HI -1634 x HI -1633
5	CWYT-614 x HI -1633	13	GS -2031 x HI- 8777
6	CWYT- 644 x HI -1634	14	GS -2031 x HI -1633
7	CWYT- 644 x GS -2031	15	HI- 8777 x HI -1633
8	CWYT- 644 x HI- 8777		

Table 2. ANOVA for different quantitative traits over (two) environments studied in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Source of Variation	df	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/ plant	Number of spikes/ plant	Number of spikelets/spike	Spike length (cm)
Environments	1	62051.28**	6143.70**	7020.51**	5644.12**	7.2**	813.24**	412.4**
Replications	2	2339.1**	105.4**	4402.56**	472.5**	10.92**	329.62**	182.25**
Genotypes	20	6432.45**	159.87**	323.36**	1289.42**	6.88**	694.14**	65.03**
Parents	5	4445.45**	245.59**	258.33**	2744.3**	3.4**	833.60**	91.91**
F1 hybrids	14	2365.43**	122.76**	304.55**	866.82**	6.5**	652.25**	40.64**
Parents Vs Hybrids	1	6645.69**	1629.13**	2261.6**	3754.91**	71.25**	1883.08**	1355.01**
Error	70	48.19	0.59	14.9	1.68	0.59	41.08	2.07
Environment	df	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/spike	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/plant (g)	
Replication	1	3243.27**	275.83**	6.20*	8525.28**	1453.85**	221.78**	
Genotypes	2	988.91**	62.40**	14.68**	1339.10**	988.91**	81.00**	
Parents	20	3590.61**	1652.03**	41.78**	2475.04**	719.98**	148.86**	
F1 hybrids	5	4588.9**	801.30**	39.17**	3396.97**	209.10**	74.66**	
Parents Vs Hybrids	14	3444.5**	1550.33**	42.7**	2304.62**	756.17**	163.70**	
Error	1	2107.05**	5620.77**	11.14*	3412.82**	3987.11**	0.46	
	70	40.87	1.18	1.11	48.19	40.87	6.5	

Table 3. Mean of parent and crosses for thirteen traits with CV, CD.

Treatments	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/plant	Number of spikes/plant	Number of spikelets/spike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains per spike	Biological yield/plant (g)	Seed yield / plant (g)	1000 grain seed weight (g)	Harvest Index (%)
Parents													
CWYT-614	80.85	122.55	63.65	9.03	13.50	20.90	12.15	4.78	85.05	127.03	59.52	46.48	46.96
CWYT- 644	79.00	121.50	83.75	5.00	10.50	21.07	10.40	4.50	72.80	114.81	56.22	49.75	48.97
HI -1634	74.10	114.85	75.10	6.00	12.00	21.33	12.55	5.25	90.00	121.83	58.50	45.52	48.02
GS -2031	83.80	122.50	86.40	8.00	13.50	18.00	14.50	5.47	71.50	110.34	54.30	47.98	49.22
HI- 8777	66.95	106.00	70.65	10.00	12.00	18.50	7.55	4.42	34.00	88.19	39.50	53.42	44.79
HI -1633	66.70	106.00	82.70	12.50	10.50	19.43	15.50	4.64	39.50	100.67	50.50	45.62	50.17
Mean	75.23	115.57	77.04	8.42	12.00	19.87	12.11	4.84	65.48	110.48	53.09	48.13	48.02
Min	66.70	106.00	63.65	5.00	10.50	18.00	7.55	4.42	34.00	88.19	39.50	45.52	44.79
Max	83.80	122.55	86.40	12.50	13.50	21.33	15.50	5.47	90.00	127.03	59.52	53.42	50.17
CV	5.04	3.35	3.16	3.79	5.96	2.84	2.88	8.54	1.71	6.14	5.59	2.75	6.04
CD_{0.05%}	1.32	1.38	2.74	2.20	1.22	1.37	0.88	4.06	0.15	0.92	1.83	1.94	1.93
Crosses													
CWYT-614 x CWYT-644	80.90	120.55	87.50	6.50	13.00	20.80	15.30	5.40	57.10	54.71	26.31	11.05	48.10
CWYT-614 x HI -1634	81.85	120.50	90.00	7.00	10.00	21.30	10.25	3.64	51.75	88.37	43.09	44.61	48.75
CWYT-614 x GS -2031	80.85	121.75	94.55	14.00	14.50	17.83	12.50	4.51	67.50	108.82	53.58	49.76	49.24
CWYT-614 x HI- 8777	89.88	131.00	84.00	17.30	12.00	18.67	13.60	4.30	75.20	70.43	34.17	18.83	48.51
CWYT-614 x HI-1633	82.65	124.00	89.10	13.03	13.00	19.63	17.55	5.61	72.85	109.04	53.14	45.50	48.74
CWYT- 644 x HI -1634	81.98	122.50	93.50	12.55	14.00	18.60	12.40	4.76	66.80	113.42	55.76	53.53	49.16
CWYT- 644 x GS -2031	80.95	121.50	96.60	13.55	16.00	20.83	12.60	5.10	68.20	110.43	54.04	49.91	48.93
CWYT- 644 x HI- 8777	80.18	120.50	84.75	9.00	13.00	20.60	12.35	5.54	66.45	96.66	47.16	40.87	48.79
CWYT- 644x HI -1633	79.78	123.00	94.80	15.35	11.50	21.47	14.55	4.14	81.85	107.17	52.46	39.66	48.95
HI -1634 x GS -2031	83.73	125.50	88.60	11.23	10.50	19.20	13.45	4.34	74.15	115.90	56.90	49.77	49.09
HI -1634 x HI- 8777	79.63	121.00	88.72	14.32	14.50	21.30	11.60	3.21	50.50	94.22	46.04	51.56	48.87
HI -1634 x HI -1633	75.06	116.09	88.50	14.60	13.50	19.70	13.65	3.54	76.00	109.33	55.50	46.65	50.77
GS -2031 x HI- 8777	84.06	125.01	82.29	10.01	11.00	20.73	11.55	3.43	80.85	117.41	57.66	46.58	49.11
GS -2031 x HI -1633	64.90	105.90	88.00	11.05	13.00	19.90	13.30	3.33	53.10	94.73	46.26	49.46	48.83
HI- 8777 x HI -1633	61.05	103.05	82.73	17.57	15.00	17.87	11.45	3.63	43.00	104.49	54.00	55.81	51.68
Mean	79.16	120.12	88.91	12.47	13.57	19.90	13.07	4.30	65.69	99.67	49.07	43.57	49.17
Min	61.05	103.05	82.29	6.50	10.50	17.83	10.25	3.21	43.00	54.71	26.31	11.05	48.10
Max	89.88	131.00	96.60	17.57	15.00	21.47	17.55	5.61	81.85	117.41	57.66	55.81	51.68
CV	0.99	3.08	0.71	7.85	15.96	6.66	3.24	2.18	2.16	3.36	7.77	5.48	4.32
CD_{0.05%}	1.75	6.83	1.72	6.93	6.35	4.14	0.32	1.10	0.59	0.31	4.07	0.09	1.64

Table 4. Variability (GCV & PCV), Heritability (broad sense), Genetic advance as percentage of mean (GAM) at 5% in wheat

Characters	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Heritability (%) (Broad sense)	Genetic advance as % of mean 5%
Days to heading	9.23	9.71	95.06	18.07
Days to maturity	5.92	7.00	84.57	10.31
Plant height (cm)	9.15	9.61	95.21	17.96
Number of effective tillers/ plant	32.05	33.28	96.30	65.57
Number of spikes/ plant	18.50	18.98	97.47	37.54
Number of spikelets/spike	5.62	6.69	84.01	9.73
Spike length (cm)	16.45	16.96	96.99	33.37
Spike weight (g)	17.17	17.62	97.45	34.47
Number of grains per spike	23.38	24.58	95.12	47.73
Biological yield/plant	16.47	16.82	97.92	33.22
1000 grain seed weight	23.91	25.11	95.22	48.86
Harvest Index (%)	2.14	3.62	59.12	2.62
Seed yield /plant	16.92	18.17	93.12	34.34

Table 5a. Genotypic correlation coefficient analysis for yield and its contributing traits in Wheat genotypes

Characters	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective 195eiwei/plant	Number of spikes/plant	Number of spikelets/spike	Spike length (cm)	Spike 195eiwei (g)	Number of grains/spike	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/plant (g)
Days to heading	1.0000	0.9255 **	0.2379	-0.0840	0.2505	0.0223	0.2303	0.3053	0.618 **	-0.0057	-0.1717	-0.4081	-0.0253
Days to maturity		1.0000	0.3182	-0.1004	0.2000	-0.0973	0.2106	0.1316	0.6181 **	0.0413	0.0980	-0.3519	0.0036
Plant height (cm)			1.0000	0.2357	0.0490	-0.1046	0.3179	-0.1741	-0.0487	-0.1370	0.5605 **	-0.0263	-0.0649
Number of effective tillers/plant				1.0000	0.6505 **	0.0392	0.2559	-0.2003	-0.0653	-0.0362	0.1374	0.0869	0.0568
Number of spikes/plant					1.0000	0.0809	0.1417	0.1104	0.1117	-0.3220	-0.0713	-0.3315	-0.2823
Number of spikelets/spike						1.0000	0.1366	0.3272	0.1918	0.0797	-0.3467	0.0724	0.0908
Spike length (cm)							1.0000	0.4076	0.3024	-0.0583	0.2295	-0.4004	0.0092
Spike weight (g)								1.0000	0.3019	0.0290	-0.4607 *	-0.2663	0.0153
Number of grains/spike									1.0000	0.4957 *	-0.0883	-0.1532	0.4840 *
Biological yield/plant (g)										1.0000	0.1282	0.7204 **	0.9851 **
Harvest Index (%)											1.0000	0.1342	0.1876
1000 Seed Weight (g)												1.0000	0.7176 **
Seed yield/plant (g)													1.0000

Table 5b. Phenotypic correlation coefficient analysis for yield and its contributing traits in Wheat genotypes

Characters	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/plant	Number of spikes/plant	Number of spikelets/spike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/spike	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/plant (g)
Days to heading	1	0.9849 **	0.3219 *	0.2163	0.4042 **	-0.1274	0.1896	0.0316	0.4509 **	-0.0423	0.2263	-0.3072 *	-0.0006
Days to maturity		1	0.3221 *	0.2484	0.3874 **	-0.1398	0.1966	0.0065	0.5265 **	0.0247	0.2228	-0.284	0.0641
Plant height (cm)			1	0.4981 **	0.2304	-0.0906	0.4124 **	-0.1177	-0.1835	0.5166 **	0.0139	-0.0622	-0.0622
Number of effective tillers/plant				1	0.6719 **	-0.1725	0.444 **	-0.178	-0.0905	-0.1055	0.5175 **	0.0653	-0.0122
Number of spikes/plant					1	0.0162	0.3697 *	-0.0561	0.0966	-0.2551	0.4754 **	-0.2063	-0.1836
Number of spikelets/spike						1	0.0139	0.0023	0.2179	0.1675	0.0006 NS	0.1188	0.1487
Spike length (cm)							1	0.2645	0.199	-0.0596	0.6703 **	-0.2614	0.0623
Spike weight (g)								1	0.1299	0.0418	-0.0986	-0.1378	0.0317
Number of grains/spike									1	0.1094	0.5728 **	-0.0665	0.5706 **
Biological yield/plant (g)										1	0.1156	0.7244 **	0.9845 **
Harvest Index (%)											1	0.1691	0.2796
1000 Seed Weight (g)												1	0.7248 **
Seed yield/plant (g)													1

Table 6a. Genotypic path matrix of thirteen traits in wheat for seed yield

Traits	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/plant	Number of spikes/plant	Number of spikelets/spike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/spike	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/plant (g)
Days to heading	0.14194	-0.17628	0.01959	0.00075	-0.00444	0.00691	0.00003	0.00056	0.00689	-0.07358	0.00247	0.02062	-0.0253
Days to maturity	0.13966	-0.17917	0.01971	0.00102	-0.00425	0.00709	-0.00001	0.00142	-0.00812	0.00042	0.00334	0.01906	0.0036
Plant height (cm)	0.0404	-0.05133	0.06882	0.00299	-0.00202	0.00494	-0.00066	0.0018	-0.00224	-0.21586	0.04791	0.00101	-0.0649
Number of effective tillers/plant	0.01653	-0.02854	0.03209	0.00642	-0.008	0.0109	-0.00032	0.01013	-0.00241	-0.17001	0.02607	0.00017	0.0568
Number of spikes/plant	0.04517	-0.05469	0.00996	0.00369	-0.01394	0.00758	0.00019	0.0069	0.00064	-0.3954	0.00442	0.02062	-0.2823
Number of spikelets/spike	-0.03857	0.04994	-0.0134	-0.00275	0.00416	-0.02542	0.00081	0.0028	0.00298	0.14658	-0.04624	-0.00333	0.0908
Spike length (cm)	-0.00262	-0.00148	0.02806	0.00129	0.00164	0.01276	-0.00162	-0.00728	0.00278	-0.2099	0.01619	0.03298	0.0092
Spike weight (g)	-0.0038	0.01204	-0.0059	-0.00308	0.00456	0.00337	-0.00056	-0.02109	0.00298	0.04467	-0.0523	0.0161	0.0153
Number of grains/spike	0.06247	-0.09291	-0.0099	-0.00099	-0.00057	-0.00484	-0.00029	-0.00402	0.01565	0.59078	0.0031	0.0048	0.4840
Biological yield/plant (g)	-0.01005	-0.00007	-0.0143	-0.00105	0.0053	-0.00358	0.00033	-0.00091	0.00889	0.93957	0.00533	-0.04054	0.9851
Harvest Index (%)	0.0043	-0.00736	0.04055	0.00206	-0.00076	0.01446	-0.00032	0.01357	0.0006	0.0682	0.08131	-0.00419	0.1876
1000 Seed Weight (g)	-0.05209	0.06077	-0.0012	-0.00002	0.00511	-0.00151	0.00095	0.00604	-0.00134	0.7501	0.00607	-0.05619	0.7176

Table 6b. Genotypic path matrix of thirteen traits in wheat for seed yield

Traits	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Number of effective tillers/plant	Number of spikes/plant	Number of spikelets/spike	Spike length (cm)	Spike weight (g)	Number of grains/spike	Biological yield/plant (g)	Harvest Index (%)	1000 Seed Weight (g)	Seed yield/plant (g)
Days to heading	0.0664	-0.0832	0.0148	0.0037	-0.0102	0.0015	-0.0019	0.0001	0.0032	-0.0422	0.0362	0.0111	-0.0006
Days to maturity	0.0654	-0.0845	0.0148	0.0043	-0.0098	0.0016	-0.0020	0.0000	0.0037	0.0247	0.0356	0.0103	0.0641
Plant height (cm)	0.0214	-0.0272	0.0460	0.0086	-0.0058	0.0010	-0.0042	0.0000	-0.0008	-0.1831	0.0826	-0.0005	-0.0622
Number of effective tillers/plant	0.0144	-0.0210	0.0229	0.0172	-0.0170	0.0020	-0.0045	-0.0006	-0.0006	-0.1053	0.0828	-0.0024	-0.0122
Number of spikes/plant	0.0268	-0.0327	0.0106	0.0115	-0.0253	-0.0002	-0.0038	-0.0002	0.0007	-0.2546	0.0760	0.0075	-0.1836
Number of spikelets/spike	-0.0085	0.0118	-0.0042	-0.0030	-0.0004	-0.0115	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0016	0.1672	0.0001	-0.0043	0.1487
Spike length (cm)	0.0126	-0.0166	0.0190	0.0076	-0.0093	-0.0002	-0.0102	0.0009	0.0014	-0.0595	0.1072	0.0094	0.0623
Spike weight (g)	0.0021	-0.0005	-0.0007	-0.0031	0.0014	0.0000	-0.0027	0.0033	0.0009	0.0417	-0.0158	0.0050	0.0317
Number of grains/spike	0.0299	-0.0445	-0.0054	-0.0016	-0.0024	-0.0025	-0.0020	0.0004	0.0071	0.5716	0.0175	0.0024	0.5706
Biological yield/plant (g)	-0.0028	-0.0021	-0.0084	-0.0018	0.0064	-0.0019	0.0006	0.0001	0.0041	0.9980	0.0185	-0.0262	0.9845
Harvest Index (%)	0.0150	-0.0188	0.0238	0.0089	-0.0120	0.0000	-0.0068	-0.0003	0.0008	0.1154	0.1599	-0.0061	0.2796
1000 Seed Weight (g)	-0.0204	0.0240	0.0006	0.0011	0.0052	-0.0014	0.0027	-0.0005	-0.0005	0.7229	0.0270	-0.0361	0.7248

comparative assessment of variables based on the magnitude of their influences. On the basis of genotypic path, results indicate that biological yield per plant, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index are the most important traits with strong direct effects on seed yield per plant. These traits should be prioritized in breeding programs for yield improvement. Traits like plant height and spike length have minor direct effects, while days to heading and maturity negatively impact yield, indicating a need to select for early-maturing genotypes. While on the basis of phenotypic path, the biological yield per plant, 1000-seed weight, and harvest index have the strongest direct positive effects on seed yield, making them the key selection criteria in breeding programs. Traits like days to heading and days to maturity have negative direct effects, suggesting that earlier heading and maturity should be prioritized for higher productivity (Table 6a & 6b). This result is in agreement with Ayer et al., (2017), Meles et al., (2017), Chimdesa et al., (2017), Getachew et al., (2021), Rajput et al., (2019) and Kumar et al., (2020).

4. CONCLUSION

Correlation reveals the degree and direction of association at phenotypic and genotypic levels between the yield and its contributing traits. However, it should be noted that the correlation could sometimes fail to give accurate insights into the individual impact of each character on the dependent character.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Al-Jibouri, H., Miller, P. A., & Robinson, H. F. (1958). Genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in an upland

cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agronomy Journal*, 50(10), 633-636.

Allard, R. W. (1960). *Principles of plant breeding* (Wiley International Edition). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Ayer, D. K., Sharma, A., Ojha, B. R., Paudel, A., & Dhakal, K. (2017). Correlation and path coefficient analysis in advanced wheat genotypes. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*, 15(1), 1-2.

Baye, A., Berihun, B., Bantayehu, M., & Derebe, B. (2020). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield-related traits in advanced bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) lines. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 6(1), 1752603.

Bayisa, T., Tefera, H., & Letta, T. (2020). Genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance among bread wheat genotypes at Southeastern Ethiopia. *Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*, 9(4), 128-134.

Bhanu, A. N., Arun, B., & Mishra, V. K. (2018). Genetic variability, heritability, and correlation study of physiological and yield traits in relation to heat tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*, 2(1), 2112-2116.

Chaudhary, P. L., Kumar, B., & Kumar, R. (2023). Analysis of heterosis and heterobeltiosis for earliness, yield, and its contributing traits in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 35(11), 84-98.

Chimdesa, O., Mohammed, W., & Eticha, F. (2017). Analysis of genetic variability among bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes for growth, yield, and yield components in Bore district, Oromia regional state. *Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*, 6(6), 188-199.

Crespo-Herrera, L. A., Crossa, J., Huerta-Espino, J., Vargas, M., Mondal, S., Velu, G., Payne, T. S., Braun, H., & Singh, R. P. (2018). Genetic gains for grain yield in CIMMYT's semi-arid wheat yield trials grown in suboptimal environments. *Crop Science*, 58(5), 1890-1898.

Dewey, D. R., & Lu, K. (1959). A correlation and path-coefficient analysis of components of crested wheatgrass seed

- production. *Agronomy Journal*, 51(9), 515-518.
- Dhunde, B. B., Devmore, J. P., Mahadik, S. G., Palshetkar, M. G., Vanve, P. B., Bhawe, S. G., & Thorat, B. S. (2021). Correlation and path analysis studies on yield and its components in green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 10(1), 727-730.
- FAO. (2021). *Crop prospects and food situation - Quarterly global report No. 1, March 2021*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome.
- FAO. (2022). *Crop prospects and food situation – Quarterly global report No. 2, July 2022*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome.
- Getachew, A., Worede, F., & Alamerew, S. (2021). Phenotypic variation and traits interrelationships in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes in Northern Ethiopia. *Acta Agriculturae Slovenica*, 117(3), 1-9.
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F., & Comstock, R. E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agronomy Journal*, 47(7), 314-318.
- Khan, A. A., Alam, M. A., Alam, M. K., Alam, M. J., & Sarker, Z. I. (2013). Correlation and path analysis of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L. var. *Durum*). *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 38(3), 515-521.
- Kumar, J., Kumar, A., Singh, S. K., Singh, L., Kumar, A., Chaudhary, M., Kumar, S., & Singh, S. K. (2021). Principal component analysis for yield and its contributing traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under late sown condition. *Technical Research*, 2(6), 102-108.
- Kumar, P., Solanki, Y. P., & Singh, V. (2020). Genetic variability and association of morpho-physiological traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 39(35), 95-105.
- Meles, B., Mohammed, W., & Tsehaye, Y. (2017). Genetic variability, correlation, and path analysis of yield and grain quality traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes at Axum, Northern Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*, 9(10), 175-185.
- Rajput, R. S. (2019). Path analysis and genetic parameters for grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Annual Research & Review in Biology*, 28, 1-8.
- Salman, M. A., Anuradha, C., Sridhar, V., Babu, E. R., & Pushpavalli, S. N. (2021). Genetic variability for yield and its related traits in green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Legume Research: An International Journal*, 1, 5.
- Ul-Allah, S., Azeem, A., Sher, A., Ijaz, M., Sattar, A., Saleem, M. A., Bibi, M., Abbas, N., & Hussain, M. (2021). Assessment of genetic variability and direct-indirect contribution of post-anthesis traits to the grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) at different sowing dates. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 26, 193-200.
- Ullah, M. I., Mahpara, S., Bibi, R., Shah, R. U., Ullah, R., Abbas, S., Ullah, M. I., Hassan, A. M., El-Shehawi, A. M., Brestic, M., & Zivcak, M. (2021). Grain yield and correlated traits of bread wheat lines: Implications for yield improvement. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 28(10), 5714-5719.
- Upadhyay, K., Adhikari, N. R., & Sharma, S. (2019). Genetic variability and cluster analysis of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes in the foothill of Nepal. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 4(3), 350-355.
- Verma, P. N., Singh, B. N., & Yadav, R. K. (2013). Genetic variability and divergence analysis of yield and its contributing traits under sodic soil condition in wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3(2), 395-399.
- Verma, P., Saini, P., Singh, V., & Yashveer, S. (2019). Genetic variability of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes for agromorphological traits and their correlation and path analysis. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4), 2290-2294.
- Wani, S. H., Sheikh, F., Najeeb, S., Iqbal, A. M., Kordrostami, M., Parray, G., & Jeberson, M. S. (2018). Genetic variability study in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under temperate conditions. *Current Agriculture Research Journal*, 6(3), 268-271.

Yared, S., Hussein, S., Mark, L., & Isack, M. (2021). Genetic variability and association of yield and yield components among

bread wheat genotypes under drought-stressed condition. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 15(6), 863-870.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/131126>