



Evaluation of Sweet Sorghum Hybrids for Juice Yield, Ethanol Yield and its Attributing Traits

A. U. Ingle ^{a++*}, D. V. Kusalkar ^{b#}, S. S. Gare ^{a++},
S. A. Tajane ^{a++}, G. M. Hamane ^{a++} and S. R. Gadakh ^{c†}

^a Department of Agricultural Botany, PGI, MPKV, Rahuri, India.

^b ZARS, Igatpuri, MPKV, Rahuri, India.

^c Dr. PDKV, Akola, MS, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i193553

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104586>

Original Research Article

Received: 06/06/2023

Accepted: 09/08/2023

Published: 18/08/2023

ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out to assess the extent of heterosis for juice yield and its attributing traits in 45 new hybrids of sweet sorghum developed by crossing 3 male sterile lines with 15 restorer in L x T mating design and were sown in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications during *Kharif* 2018 at Botany Farm, Post Graduate Institute, M.P.K.V., Rahuri. The significant average heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis recorded for total biomass, juice yield and its contributing characters in forty five crosses. The cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-512 showed the highest significant average heterosis (224.84%) and heterobeltiosis (286.36%) for juice yield. The extent of standard heterosis observed for juice yield over the check, CSH-22SS was upto 59.15 per cent. The highest significant standard heterosis was recorded by the cross CMS-1409 x

⁺⁺Ph. D. Scholar;

[#]Associate Director of Research;

[†]Vice Chancellor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: anant.ingle100@gmail.com;

RSSV-512 (59.15%), followed by cross ICMS-479 x RSSV-355 (43.58%) and CMS-1409 x RSSV-355 (24.30%). Based on *per se* performance and heterotic performance for yield and its principal components in the F₁ hybrids the cross combinations viz, CMS-1409 x RSSV-512, ICMS-479 x RSSV-355 and CMS-1409 x RSSV-499 CMS-1409 x RSSV-498 and CMS-1409 x RSSV-355 were appeared to be promising.

Keywords: Sweet sorghum; juice; ethanol; heterosis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Sweet sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] is a very special purpose sorghum with a sugar-rich stalk, almost similar to sugarcane. Besides having fast growth, major sugar accumulation, and biomass production capacity, sweet sorghum has wider adaptability [1]. Given that water availability is poised to become a major issue to agricultural production in coming years [2], cultivation of sugarcane becomes difficult. Sweet sorghum would be a good crop option in lieu of sugarcane in such situations. Sweet sorghum required less irrigation and rainfall and purchased less inputs compared to sugarcane. The sugar content in the juice extracted from sweet sorghum ranges from 16-23 per cent Brix. It has a great capacity for jaggery, syrup and most importantly biofuel production [3]. The silage after extraction of juice from sweet sorghum can be used for co-generation of power. Major goal of any crop improvement programme to maximizing production of that particular crop. Sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) is an emerging most important biofuel crop, it is a special purpose sorghum with a sugar-rich stalk, almost like sugarcane. It produces food (grain) and fuel (ethanol from stem sap) and the stalks contain 10-15% sugars (Monti et al., 2007). It is a unique crop with multiple advantages as food, feed, fodder, fuel, and fiber. Hence, it is popularly known as a smart crop [4]. Sweet sorghum is solving the food-versus-fuel issue. Major constraints for sweet sorghum are lack of high and quality juice yielding genotypes adapted to biotic and abiotic stresses. Since future breeding efforts will be largely focus on high juice yielding with stable hybrids in sweet sorghum. Heterosis has positive relationship with specific combining ability (SCA) variance. Heterosis has been fully exploited by developing several high yielding grain sorghum hybrids (CSH-1 to CSh-25). However, heterosis for juice yield and its contributing traits has not been exploited, as sweet sorghum breeding is still in its infancy. Hence, the present investigation was carried out to assess the extent of heterosis of newly developed hybrids of sweet sorghum for juice

yield and its contributing traits in 45 hybrids with their 18 parents and one check (CSH-22).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted at Botany Farm, Post Graduate Institute, M.P.K.V., Rahuri, during the period *Kharif* - 2018. The experimental material consisting of 64 genotypes (45 hybrids, 15 restorer lines, 3 maintainer (B) lines and one check) were laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. Each entry was sown in two rows of 4 m length in each replication. The inter and intra-row spacing was 60 cm and 15 cm, respectively. The F₁'s, lines, testers and check were separately randomized within the replications. Half of the recommended dose of nitrogen along with the entire dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied at the time of sowing. The remaining 50 per cent of the nitrogen was top dressed at 35 days after sowing. The crop stand and the crop growth were satisfactory. All the recommended practices were followed for raising successful crop. Observations were recorded on randomly selected 5 competitive plants in each replication in respective 10 characters viz., days to 50% flowering, days to physiological maturity, node/plant, stem girth /diameter cm, plant height (cm), cane weight (t/ha), juice yield (l/ha), total biomass yield (t/ha), brix (%), ethanol yield (l/ha). The mean values of these five plants were computed for each entry for all characters and were subjected to analysis of variance following the methods of Panse and Sukhatme [5].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean performance of hybrids for different traits studied were compared with the corresponding mid parent (MP), better parent (BP) and standard check hybrids (CSH-22SS) and the differences expressed as per cent heterosis for juice yield, ethanol yield and its components traits. In sweet sorghum, positive heterosis was desirable for all the characters studied except days to 50% flowering, days to maturity and reducing sugar where negative

Table 1. Heterosis (%) over mid-parent (MP), better-parent (BP) and standard check (CSH-22SS) for different characters in sweet sorghum

Sr. No	Crosses	Days to 50% Flowering			Days to Maturity		
		1			2		
		MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
1	CMS-185 x RSSV- 454	2.97 *	6.12 **	-22.68 **	2.12 *	5.87 **	-11.95 **
2	CMS-185 x RSSV- 498	-11.71 **	-5.77 **	-27.14 **	-7.15 **	-6.01 **	-16.10 **
3	CMS-185 x RSSV- 499	-3.92 **	-2	-27.14 **	-3.50 **	-1.15	-15.85 **
4	CMS-185 x RSSV- 500	1.98	5.10 **	-23.42 **	0.99	5.01 **	-13.17 **
5	CMS-185 x RSSV- 502	-5.16 **	-3.02	-28.25 **	-4.07 **	-1.44	-16.59 **
6	CMS-185 x RSSV- 503	-10.45 **	-5.29 **	-26.77 **	-6.06 **	-4.64 **	-14.88 **
7	CMS-185 x RSSV- 483	-9.31 **	-8.65 **	-29.37 **	-6.61 **	-5.83 **	-17.32 **
8	CMS-185 x RSSV- 453	-9.42 **	-2.88	-24.91 **	-5.73 **	-3.28 **	-13.66 **
9	CMS-185 x RSSV- 417	-10.43 **	-0.96	-23.42 **	-8.21 **	-3.83 **	-14.15 **
10	CMS-185 x RSSV- 512	3.80 **	18.27 **	-8.55 **	-5.82 **	-0.55	-11.22 **
11	CMS-185 x RSSV- 350	-8.44 **	4.33 **	-19.33 **	-6.74 **	-1.64	-12.20 **
12	CMS-185 x RSSV- 397	-0.71	0.48	-22.30 **	-2.88 **	-2.48 *	-13.66 **
13	CMS-185 x RSSV- 430	-9.81 **	-7.21 **	-28.25 **	-4.76 **	-4.37 **	-14.63 **
14	CMS-185 x RSSV-386	-13.57 **	-8.17 **	-29.00 **	-7.32 **	-6.56 **	-16.59 **
15	CMS-185 x RSSV- 355	-12.97 **	-4.81 **	-26.39 **	-9.26 **	-6.28 **	-16.34 **
16	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 454	8.96 **	11.73 **	-18.59 **	4.69 **	7.92 **	-10.24 **
17	ICMS-479 x RSSV-498	-12.22 **	-5.83 **	-27.88 **	-2.04 *	-0.28	-11.95 **
18	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 499	1.48	3	-23.42 **	0.98	2.87 **	-12.44 **
19	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 500	-1.99	0.51	-26.77 **	-0.71	2.65 *	-15.12 **
20	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 502	-4.20 **	-2.51	-27.88 **	-4.65 **	-2.59 *	-17.56 **
21	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 503	-9.13 **	-3.40 *	-26.02 **	-4.19 **	-2.21 *	-13.66 **
22	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 483	1.2	2.43	-21.56 **	1.39	1.67	-10.73 **
23	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 453	-3.15 *	4.37 **	-20.07 **	-1.47	1.66	-10.24 **
24	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 417	-7.86 **	2.43	-21.56 **	-6.42 **	-1.38	-12.93 **
25	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 512	7.20 **	22.82 **	-5.95 **	0.13	6.35 **	-6.10 **
26	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 350	8.47 **	24.27 **	-4.83 **	3.13 **	9.39 **	-3.41 **
27	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 397	5.97 **	7.77 **	-17.47 **	0.97	1.1	-10.73 **
28	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 430	1.41	4.85 **	-19.70 **	-1.78 *	-0.83	-12.44 **
29	ICMS-479 x RSSV-386	-6.36 **	0.00	-23.42 **	-3.00 **	-1.66	-13.17 **
30	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 355	-12.14 **	-3.40 *	-26.02 **	-7.45 **	-3.87 **	-15.12 **

Sr. No	Crosses	Days to 50% Flowering			Days to Maturity		
		1			2		
		MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
31	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 454	18.09 **	19.90 **	-12.64 **	11.05 **	12.02 **	-6.83 **
32	CMS-1409 x RSSV-498	6.39 **	15.35 **	-13.38 **	6.37 **	10.66 **	-6.34 **
33	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 499	1.99	2.50	-23.79 **	4.31 **	4.61 **	-11.46 **
34	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 500	9.55 **	11.22 **	-18.96 **	7.87 **	9.14 **	-9.76 **
35	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 502	4.24 **	5.03 **	-22.30 **	6.34 **	6.34 **	-10.00 **
36	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 503	-1.38	5.94 **	-20.45 **	1.38	5.76 **	-10.49 **
37	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 483	16.22 **	18.81 **	-10.78 **	8.63 **	10.66 **	-6.34 **
38	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 453	2.73 *	11.88 **	-15.99 **	2.19 *	7.78 **	-8.78 **
39	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 417	-3.08 *	8.91 **	-18.22 **	-2.67 **	4.90 **	-11.22 **
40	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 512	-9.83 **	4.46 **	-21.56 **	-3.98 **	4.32 **	-11.71 **
41	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 350	3.85 **	20.30 **	-9.67 **	7.57 **	16.71 **	-1.22
42	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 397	4.58 **	7.43 **	-19.33 **	8.73 **	11.24 **	-5.85 **
43	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 430	9.48 **	14.36 **	-14.13 **	5.31 **	8.65 **	-8.05 **
44	CMS-1409 x RSSV-386	9.63 **	18.32 **	-11.15 **	7.93 **	11.82 **	-5.37 **
45	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 355	-4.23 **	6.44 **	-20.07 **	1.22	7.49 **	-9.02 **
	SE(D)±	0.916	1.052	1.058	1.023	1.181	1.181
	CD 5%	1.820	2.102	2.102	2.033	2.347	2.347
	CD 1%	2.412	2.785	2.785	2.693	3.110	3.110

Note: * Significant at 5% level of significance , ** Significant at 1% level of significance

Cont....Table 1. Heterosis (%) over mid-parent (MP), better-parent (BP) and standard check (CSH-22SS) for different characters in sweet sorghum

Sr. No	Crosses	No of nodes/plant			Plant Height (cm)		
		MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
1	CMS-185 x RSVV- 454	27.59 **	37.04 **	-5.13	59.65 **	110.19 **	0.07
2	CMS-185 x RSVV- 498	33.33 **	48.15 **	2.56	62.58 **	110.63 **	0.28
3	CMS-185 x RSVV- 499	20.63 **	40.74 **	-2.56	29.44 **	59.68 **	-23.98 **
4	CMS-185 x RSVV- 500	5.88	33.33 **	-7.69	27.48 **	96.51 **	-6.44 **
5	CMS-185 x RSVV- 502	-6.25	11.11	-23.08 **	28.67 **	95.63 **	-6.86 **
6	CMS-185 x RSVV- 503	-3.03	18.52	-17.95 *	43.42 **	87.05 **	-10.95 **
7	CMS-185 x RSVV- 483	6.06	29.63 **	-10.26	24.03 **	88.94 **	-10.05 **
8	CMS-185 x RSVV- 453	6.06	29.63 **	-10.26	26.84 **	88.50 **	-10.26 **
9	CMS-185 x RSVV- 417	15.15 *	40.74 **	-2.56	33.46 **	104.66 **	-2.56 **
10	CMS-185 x RSVV- 512	16.42 *	44.44 **	0.00	38.44 **	111.79 **	0.83
11	CMS-185 x RSVV- 350	26.15 **	51.85 **	5.13	36.14 **	109.17 **	-0.42
12	CMS-185 x RSVV- 397	12.12	37.04 **	-5.13	18.28 **	80.35 **	-14.14 **
13	CMS-185 x RSVV- 430	3.03	25.93 *	-12.82	-5.72 **	45.27 **	-30.84 **
14	CMS-185 x RSVV-386	21.21 **	48.15 **	2.56	25.65 **	89.67 **	-9.70 **
15	CMS-185 x RSVV- 355	25.00 **	48.15 **	2.56	36.16 **	110.48 **	0.21
16	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 454	45.45 **	66.67 **	2.56	64.95 **	129.37 **	0.14
17	ICMS-479 x RSVV-498	40.35 **	66.67 **	2.56	38.60 **	89.52 **	-17.26 **
18	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 499	23.33 **	54.17 **	-5.13	31.14 **	70.48 **	-25.57 **
19	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 500	13.85	54.17 **	-5.13	15.87 **	89.52 **	-17.26 **
20	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 502	24.59 **	58.33 **	-2.56	12.80 **	81.90 **	-20.58 **
21	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 503	14.29	50.00 **	-7.69	16.89 **	60.95 **	-29.73 **
22	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 483	14.29	50.00 **	-7.69	7.66 **	73.97 **	-24.05**
23	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 453	20.63 **	58.33 **	-2.56	39.24 **	119.37 **	-4.23**
24	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 417	17.46 *	54.17 **	-5.13	25.85 **	104.76 **	-10.60**
25	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 512	28.13 **	70.83 **	5.13	39.85 **	126.98 **	-0.90
26	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 350	29.03 **	66.67 **	2.56	40.02 **	128.25 **	-0.35
27	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 397	14.29	50.00 **	-7.69	28.16 **	107.30 **	-9.49 **
28	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 430	20.63 **	58.33 **	-2.56	20.68 **	97.30 **	-13.86 **
29	ICMS-479 x RSVV-386	20.63 **	58.33 **	-2.56	30.69 **	109.21 **	-8.66 **
30	ICSA-479 x RSVV- 355	34.43 **	70.83 **	5.13	41.85 **	132.70 **	1.59 *

Sr. No	Crosses	No of nodes/plant			Plant Height (cm)		
		3			4		
		MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
31	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 454	46.43 **	64.00 **	5.13	71.75 **	152.86 **	1.11
32	CMS-1409 x RSSV-498	41.38 **	64.00 **	5.13	77.96 **	157.54 **	2.98 **
33	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 499	21.31 **	48.00 **	-5.13	83.47 **	151.99 **	0.76
34	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 500	12.12	48.00 **	-5.13	34.26 **	133.62 **	-6.58 **
35	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 502	16.13 *	44.00 **	-7.69	45.93 **	150.26 **	0.07
36	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 503	15.63 *	48.00 **	-5.13	74.55 **	154.42 **	1.73 *
37	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 483	25.00 **	60.00 **	2.56	50.68 **	158.93 **	3.53 **
38	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 453	25.00 **	60.00 **	2.56	47.00 **	146.10 **	-1.59 *
39	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 417	18.75 *	52.00 **	-2.56	31.20 **	127.04 **	-9.22 **
40	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 512	23.08 **	60.00 **	2.56	51.91 **	162.22 **	4.85 **
41	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 350	33.33 **	68.00 **	7.69	50.12 **	160.31 **	4.09 **
42	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 397	28.13 **	64.00 **	5.13	49.52 **	157.19 **	2.84 **
43	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 430	25.00 **	60.00 **	2.56	45.89 **	153.73 **	1.46
44	CMS-1409 x RSSV-386	25.00 **	60.00 **	2.56	46.95 **	150.09 **	0.00
45	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 355	25.81 **	56.00 **	0.00	45.78 **	154.42 **	1.73 *
	SE(D)±	0.776	0.896	0.896	3.194	3.688	3.688
	CD 5%	1.543	1.782	1.782	6.348	7.330	7.330
	CD 1%	2.044	2.361	2.361	8.410	9.711	9.711

Note: * Significant at 5% level of significance , ** Significant at 1% level of significance

Cont....Table 1. Heterosis (%) over mid-parent (MP), better-parent (BP) and standard check (CSH-22SS) for different characters in sweet sorghum

Sr.No.	Crosses	Stem girth (cm)			Total Biomass Yield (t/ha)		
		5			6		
		MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
1	CMS-185 x RSSV- 454	44.21	63.87	2.03	46.12 **	52.27 **	3.13
2	CMS-185 x RSSV- 498	49.36	62.94	1.45	49.10 **	53.05 **	3.66
3	CMS-185 x RSSV- 499	-7.10	0.70	-37.30	-4.03	15.04 *	-22.08 **
4	CMS-185 x RSSV- 500	-0.82	27.27	-20.75	17.39 **	31.28 **	-11.09 *
5	CMS-185 x RSSV- 502	-22.32	-11.19	-44.70	37.83 **	38.46 **	-7.07
6	CMS-185 x RSSV- 503	-6.40	5.59	-34.25	13.95 **	23.09 **	-16.64 **
7	CMS-185 x RSSV- 483	-39.42 *	29.84	-19.16	8.76	17.22 **	-20.61 **
8	CMS-185 x RSSV- 453	-7.31	0.47	-37.45	-18.36 **	-4.71	-35.46 **
9	CMS-185 x RSSV- 417	25.70	62.47	1.16	13.96 **	25.70 **	-14.87 **
10	CMS-185 x RSSV- 512	31.66	64.80	2.61	82.32 **	96.21 **	32.89 **
11	CMS-185 x RSSV- 350	27.66	62.47	1.16	44.14 **	52.74 **	3.45
12	CMS-185 x RSSV- 397	-4.18	6.76	-33.53	28.50 **	36.65 **	-17.87 **
13	CMS-185 x RSSV- 430	-31.51	-15.38	-47.31 *	2.77	19.49 **	-19.07 **
14	CMS-185 x RSSV-386	2.19	19.58	-25.54	16.32 **	27.95 **	-13.34 **
15	CMS-185 x RSSV- 355	32.06	61.31	0.44	36.88 **	49.91 **	1.53
16	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 454	39.98	59.48	-1.16	62.47 **	96.91 **	1.53
17	ICMS-479 x RSSV-498	12.21	22.72	-23.95	2.04	21.58 *	-37.31 **
18	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 499	-12.28	-4.68	-40.93	10.35	56.45 **	-19.33 **
19	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 500	-26.66	-5.62	-41.51	-20.02 **	4.94	-45.89 **
20	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 502	17.88	35.13	-16.26	-14.30 *	-1.38	-49.15 **
21	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 503	-23.81	-13.82	-46.59	-19.49 **	1.60	-47.61 **
22	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 483	-48.18 **	11.48	-30.91	-23.14 **	-3.24	-50.11 **
23	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 453	48.92	61.83	0.29	16.09 **	59.78 **	-17.61 **
24	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 417	4.25	35.13	-16.26	5.90	36.83 **	-29.44 **
25	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 512	29.85	63.00	1.02	51.00 **	89.78 **	-2.15
26	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 350	32.48	69.09	4.79	-1.22	22.00 *	-37.09 **
27	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 397	35.43	51.29	-6.24	66.78 **	80.59 **	-6.88
28	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 430	-1.13	22.48	-24.09	-13.14 *	19.03 *	-38.62 **
29	ICMS-479 x RSSV-386	11.58	30.91	-18.87	19.74 **	54.22 **	-20.48 **
30	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 355	56.21 *	91.33 *	18.58	55.39 **	99.19 **	2.71

Sr.No.	Crosses	Stem girth (cm)			Total Biomass Yield (t/ha)		
		5			6		
		MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
31	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 454	45.78	69.25	1.45	60.57 **	80.27 **	6.28
32	CMS-1409 x RSSV-498	71.74 *	91.28 *	14.66	98.73 **	119.57 **	29.45 **
33	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 499	60.61	77.72	6.53	76.02 **	129.30 **	35.19 **
34	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 500	18.53	55.69	-6.68	31.58 **	59.24 **	-6.11
35	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 502	46.94	71.67	2.90	70.27 **	82.05 **	7.33
36	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 503	47.69	70.22	2.03	49.86 **	74.81 **	3.06
37	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 483	-22.00	72.15	3.19	52.16 **	77.08 **	4.40
38	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 453	45.95	61.50	-3.19	21.63 **	54.05 **	-9.18 *
39	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 417	-10.70	18.16	-29.17	21.45 **	44.86 **	-14.59 **
40	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 512	32.33	69.49	1.60	102.09 **	134.80 **	38.43 **
41	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 350	29.74	69.01	1.31	69.40 **	93.62 **	14.15 **
42	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 397	47.87	68.28	0.87	84.05 **	85.83 **	9.56 *
43	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 430	37.74	74.09	4.35	48.19 **	86.90 **	10.19 *
44	CMS-1409 x RSSV-386	40.69	68.28	0.87	52.87 **	81.80 **	7.18
45	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 355	39.34	74.09	4.35	102.19 **	139.35 **	41.11 **
	SE(D)±	0.468	0.541	0.541	2.228	2.573	2.573
	CD 5%	0.931	1.075	1.075	4.129	5.114	5.114
	CD 1%	1.233	1.424	1.424	5.867	6.775	6.775

Note: * Significant at 5% level of significance, ** Significant at 1% level of significance

Cont....Table 1. Heterosis (%) over mid-parent (MP), better-parent (BP) and standard check (CSH-22SS) for different characters in sweet sorghum

Sr. No	Crosses	Cane Weight (t/ha)			Juice Yield (l/ha)		
		7			8		
		MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
1	CMS-185 x RSSV- 454	54.90 **	73.07 **	1.15	89.35 **	231.44 **	10.92
2	CMS-185 x RSSV- 498	83.06 **	90.63 **	2.90	65.48 **	153.25 **	2.84
3	CMS-185 x RSSV- 499	16.17 **	30.59 **	-23.67 **	-1.03	97.24 **	-44.72 **
4	CMS-185 x RSSV- 500	31.00 **	50.73 **	-11.90 **	45.99 **	142.92 **	-12.68
5	CMS-185 x RSSV- 502	30.75 **	41.23 **	-17.46 **	-50.95 **	-8.41	-71.97 **
6	CMS-185 x RSSV- 503	6.78	24.93 **	-26.98 **	18.46	146.93 **	-34.79 **
7	CMS-185 x RSSV- 483	-21.10 **	-3.41	-43.55 **	-21.30	12.64	-49.39 **
8	CMS-185 x RSSV- 453	0.41	0.65	-41.17 **	-15.96	33.19	-48.63 **
9	CMS-185 x RSSV- 417	20.40 **	23.46 **	-31.34 **	16.40	103.05 **	-31.72 **
10	CMS-185 x RSSV- 512	81.53 **	108.96 **	22.13 **	80.84 **	174.12 **	12.92
11	CMS-185 x RSSV- 350	51.15 **	74.31 **	1.87	74.97 **	206.38 **	2.48
12	CMS-185 x RSSV- 397	14.33 **	17.46 **	-31.35 **	-29.73 **	-24.63 *	-44.93 **
13	CMS-185 x RSSV- 430	-17.74 **	1.71	-40.56 **	-39.14 **	-29.31 *	-55.29 **
14	CMS-185 x RSSV-386	3.29	14.64 *	-33.00 **	-22.25 *	-13.37	-40.98 **
15	CMS-185 x RSSV- 355	72.26 **	78.60 **	-2.78	58.23 **	133.65 **	0.11
16	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 454	65.61 **	115.39 **	-2.94	132.42 **	199.03 **	0.07
17	ICMS-479 x RSSV-498	17.46 **	29.08 **	-41.83 **	15.64	32.78	-46.08 **
18	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 499	-23.49 **	0.19	-54.85 **	-36.91 *	-9.20	-74.55 **
19	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 500	5.73	42.07 **	-35.98 **	53.25 **	88.85 **	-32.11 **
20	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 502	-16.50 **	4.58	-52.87 **	29.50	76.15 **	-46.10 **
21	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 503	-34.44 **	-10.25	-59.56 **	-17.54	23.43	-67.40 **
22	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 483	-34.01 **	-5.02	-57.20 **	7.78	17.03	-47.42 **
23	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 453	33.78 **	54.07 **	-30.57 **	71.82 **	103.18 **	-21.63 **
24	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 417	22.98 **	37.37 **	-38.10 **	100.47 **	157.17 **	-13.52
25	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 512	43.45 **	92.87 **	-13.09 **	94.63 **	121.69 **	-8.68
26	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 350	-6.20	26.36 **	-43.06 **	63.50 **	110.43 **	-29.62 **
27	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 397	23.09 **	45.74 **	-34.33 **	10.92	32.42 *	-30.28 **
28	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 430	-4.48	38.99 **	-37.37 **	12.05	23.33	-35.07 **
29	ICMS-479 x RSSV-386	13.75 **	46.85 **	-33.83 **	-22.60	-11.22	-53.26 **
30	ICMS-479 x RSSV- 355	112.01 **	134.06 **	5.48	200.72 **	235.12 **	43.58 **

Sr. No	Crosses	Cane Weight (t/ha)			Juice Yield (l/ha)		
		7			8		
		MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
31	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 454	56.48 **	77.57 **	0.92	134.21 **	215.86 **	5.70
32	CMS-1409 x RSSV-498	165.48 **	172.50 **	47.09 **	144.52 **	193.25 **	19.09 *
33	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 499	120.48 **	151.77 **	43.09 **	160.06 **	293.52 **	10.30
34	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 500	-4.77	11.33	-36.73 **	64.40 **	112.07 **	-23.77 **
35	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 502	49.00 **	63.39 **	-7.14 *	130.36 **	228.95 **	0.67
36	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 503	49.17 **	77.35 **	0.79	142.40 **	281.87 **	0.85
37	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 483	44.72 **	80.14 **	2.38	98.51 **	124.72 **	0.97
38	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 453	47.04 **	49.49 **	-15.04 **	94.95 **	141.01 **	-7.04
39	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 417	38.00 **	39.52 **	-22.41 **	82.38 **	145.21 **	-17.54 *
40	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 512	119.63 **	156.88 **	45.99 **	224.84 **	286.36 **	59.15 **
41	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 350	63.27 **	91.31 **	8.73 *	128.65 **	208.45 **	3.17
42	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 397	78.19 **	85.73 **	5.56	56.70 **	79.14 **	1.74
43	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 430	46.61 **	84.33 **	4.76	68.56 **	78.13 **	1.17
44	CMS-1409 x RSSV-386	54.87 **	74.56 **	-0.79	56.43 **	72.04 **	-2.29
45	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 355	175.56 **	181.63 **	53.31 **	149.49 **	190.11 **	24.30 **
	SE(D)±	1.224	1.414	1.414	659.3	761.4	761.4
	CD 5%	2.433	2.810	2.810	1310.3	1513.1	1513.1
	CD 1%	3.224	3.722	3.722	1736.0	2004.6	2004.6

Note: * Significant at 5% level of significance, ** Significant at 1% level of significance

Cont....Table 1. Heterosis (%) over mid-parent (MP), better-parent (BP) and standard check (CSH-22SS) for different characters in sweet sorghum

Sr. No	Crosses	Brix (%)				Ethanol Yield (l/ha)	
		9				10	
		MP(H ₁)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
1	CMS-185 x RSVV- 454	5.38	76.46 **	102.66 **	-17.35 *	6.52	-14.04 **
2	CMS-185 x RSVV- 498	7.53	92.09 **	123.04 **	-10.78	8.70	-12.28 **
3	CMS-185 x RSVV- 499	6.67	5.83	71.43 *	-59.52 **	9.09	-15.79 **
4	CMS-185 x RSVV- 500	4.44	88.30 **	151.91 **	-20.48 *	6.82	-17.54 **
5	CMS-185 x RSVV- 502	-7.84 *	-26.30	19.39	-71.81 **	2.17	-17.54 **
6	CMS-185 x RSVV- 503	-7.07	111.69 **	313.58 **	-24.76 **	0.00	-19.30 **
7	CMS-185 x RSVV- 483	8.51 *	37.77 *	88.89 **	-42.65 **	10.87 *	-10.53 **
8	CMS-185 x RSVV- 453	5.95	2.07	18.11	-52.47 **	6.52	-14.04 **
9	CMS-185 x RSVV- 417	6.38	74.25 **	111.91 **	-21.75 **	8.70	-12.28 **
10	CMS-185 x RSVV- 512	15.56 **	192.28 **	243.54 **	34.52 **	18.18 **	-8.77 *
11	CMS-185 x RSVV- 350	6.38	155.81 **	256.62 **	5.48	8.70	-12.28 **
12	CMS-185 x RSVV- 397	19.59 **	8.98	9.41	-42.59 **	26.09 **	1.75
13	CMS-185 x RSVV- 430	-2.91	-24.64	-23.26	-60.84 **	8.70	-12.28 **
14	CMS-185 x RSVV-386	10.87 **	-3.43	6.76	-53.37 **	10.87 *	-10.53 **
15	CMS-185 x RSVV- 355	-4.35	65.01 **	129.15 **	-31.81 **	-4.35	-22.81 **
16	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 454	15.22 **	124.29 **	142.37 **	-14.88	17.78 **	-7.02
17	ICMS-479 x RSVV-498	21.74 **	39.05 *	48.71 *	-47.77 **	24.44 **	-1.75
18	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 499	12.36 **	-20.82	-1.53	-76.75 **	13.64 **	-12.28 **
19	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 500	25.84 **	85.55 **	95.99 **	-38.13 **	27.27 **	-1.75
20	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 502	8.91 *	70.87 **	112.50 **	-49.82 **	22.22 **	-3.51
21	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 503	10.20 **	7.57	57.62	-71.33 **	20.00 **	-5.26
22	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 483	17.20 **	50.32 *	62.10 *	-50.78 **	21.11 **	-4.39
23	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 453	31.15 **	114.23 **	129.85 **	-19.28 *	33.33 **	5.26
24	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 417	7.53	107.19 **	112.52 **	-25.36 **	11.11 *	-12.28 **
25	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 512	32.58 **	119.95 **	132.59 **	-18.31 *	34.09 **	3.51
26	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 350	18.28 **	79.33 **	96.13 **	-41.99 **	22.22 **	-3.51
27	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 397	8.33 *	43.47 **	78.90 **	-37.17 **	15.56 **	-8.77 *
28	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 430	15.69 **	10.63	35.68	-52.35 **	31.11 **	3.51
29	ICMS-479 x RSVV-386	16.48 **	-8.10	3.09	-63.80 **	17.78 **	-7.02
30	ICMS-479 x RSVV- 355	23.08 **	342.71 **	382.59 **	43.61 **	24.44 **	-1.75

Sr. No	Crosses	Brix (%)			Ethanol Yield (l/ha)		
		9			10		
		MP(H ₁)	MP(H ₁)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)	BP(H ₂)	Check(H ₃)
31	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 454	13.64 **	204.38 **	230.45 **	15.06	21.95 **	-12.28 **
32	CMS-1409 x RSSV-498	15.91 **	227.86 **	252.25 **	22.65 **	24.39 **	-10.53 **
33	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 499	10.59 *	262.06 **	347.96 **	5.78	14.63 **	-17.54 **
34	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 500	20.00 **	121.60 **	133.02 **	-26.45 **	24.39 **	-10.53 **
35	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 502	3.09	287.63 **	379.59 **	13.25	21.95 **	-12.28 **
36	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 503	-9.57 *	272.50 **	442.72 **	-1.27	3.66	-25.44 **
37	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 483	10.11 *	174.49 **	194.64 **	-10.54	19.51 **	-14.04 **
38	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 453	0.57	109.95 **	126.30 **	-21.20 **	7.32	-22.81 **
39	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 417	12.36 **	154.07 **	161.76 **	-8.86	21.95 **	-12.28 **
40	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 512	36.47 **	387.46 **	417.82 **	80.30 **	41.46 **	1.75
41	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 350	-7.87	239.57 **	269.65 **	9.34	0.00	-28.07 **
42	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 397	19.57 **	77.78 **	122.84 **	-22.41 **	34.15 **	-3.51
43	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 430	4.08	147.44 **	205.02 **	6.20	24.39 **	-10.53 **
44	CMS-1409 x RSSV-386	5.75	221.57 **	262.46 **	26.20 **	12.20 *	-19.30 **
45	CMS-1409 x RSSV- 355	19.54 **	365.30 **	404.86 **	50.24 **	26.83 **	-8.77 *
	SE(D)±	0.606	37.40	43.191	43.191	0.700	0.700
	CD 5%	1.205	74.33	85.832	85.832	1.391	1.391
	CD 1%	1.596	98.48	113.71	113.71	1.843	1.843

Note: * Significant at 5% level of significance, ** Significant at 1% level of significance

Table 2. Mean juice and ethanol yield performance and heterosis effects in promising crosses

Crosses	Mean Juice yield (lit/ha)	Heterosis (%) for juice yield				Mean Ethanol yield (lit/ha)
		H ₁	H ₂	H ₃	Significant H ₃ for other characters	
CMS-1409 x RSSV-512	15067	224.84 **	286.36 **	59.15**	1,2,4,6,7,11,12	998
ICMS-479 x RSSV-355	13592	200.72 **	235.12 **	43.58**	1,2,4,7,11,12	795
CMS-1409 x RSSV-355	11767	149.49 **	190.11 **	24.30**	1,2,4,5,7,11,12	831
CMS-1409 x RSSV-498	11274	144.52 **	193.25 **	10.30**	1,2,4,6,7,12	679
CMS-1409 x RSSV-499	10441	160.06 **	293.95 **	10.30**	1,2,4,6,7,	585

Note: * Significant at 5% level of significance, ** Significant at 1% level of significance; H₁ - Average heterosis; H₂ - Heterobeltilosis
 1) Days to 50% Flowering 2) days to Maturity 3) Nodes/plant; H₃ - Standard heterosis over CSH-22 4) Plant height 5) Stem girth 6) Total biomass yield
 7) Cane weight 8) Juice yield 9) Brix % 10) Reducing sugar % 11) Total sugar 12) Ethanol yield

heterosis is desirable. Character wise results of average heterosis (H_1) heterobeltiosis (H_2) and standard heterosis (H_3) observed in the 45 crosses is given in Table 1.

Exploitation of heterosis is a quick and convenient way of combining desirable combinations. It is important in sweet sorghum, as it may be an indicative of producing transgressive segregants for many quantitative characters in advanced generation.

Among the thirteen characters studied, majority of the characters exhibited mid-parents as well as better parent heterosis along with standard heterosis in desirable direction in most of the hybrids, indicating the predominant role inter-allelic interactions and over dominance in the expression of heterosis in regard of all these attribute. Hybrids exhibited positive and significant heterosis for juice yield and its attributing traits. The magnitude of heterosis for juice yield and its contributing traits suggested enough diversity among the parental lines and restorers. Low and negative heterosis can be attributed to the presence of large epistasis gene effects or to incomplete dominant gene effects. These results are in conformity with the earlier findings of Meshram et al., [6], Rajashekhar [7], Pfeiffer et al., [8], Sidramappa et al., [9].

The significant average heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis has been observed for juice yield and its contributing characters in number of crosses. The cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-512 showed the highest significant average heterosis (224.84%) and heterobeltiosis (286.36%) for juice yield. The extent of standard heterosis observed for juice yield over the check CSH-22 was upto 59.15 per cent. The highest significant standard heterosis was recorded by the cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-512 (59.15%) and followed by ICMS-479 x RSSV-355 and CMS-1409 x RSSV-499. For Ethanol yield, the cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-512 (80.30%) has recorded the highest significant standard heterosis over the check CSH-22 and followed by CMS-1409 x RSSV-355(50.24%) and ICMS-479 x RSSV-355 (43.61%).

From the present investigation majority of crosses exhibited significant better parents heterosis for all the characters studied indicating the preponderance of non-additive gene action in the genetic control of these traits in sweet sorghum. This is an accordance with the results reported by Ganesh et al., [10], Senthil and

Khan [11], Chaudhari et al., [12], Rajashekhar et al., [7], Sandeep et al., [13], Sudhir Kumar et al. [14] and Sidramappa et al., [9]. Most of the hybrids exhibited significant standard heterosis for most of the characters over the check CSH-22, whereas only 6 hybrids for juice yield and 7 hybrids for ethanol yield expressed significant standard heterosis. Similar findings were reported by Meshram et al., [6], Sandeep et al., [13], Rajashekhar [7], Vinaykumar (2009), Sudhir Kumar et al., [14] and Sidramappa et al., [12].

On the basis of mean juice yield and ethanol yield performance and heterosis per cent, five crosses were identified as promising crosses (Table 2). The cross CMS- 1409 x RSSV-512 recorded highest juice yield (15067 l/ha), highest standard heterosis (59.15%). The second cross ICMS-479 x RSSV-355 has recorded second highest mean juice yield (13592 l/ha), standard heterosis (43.58%). The cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-355 showed high mean juice yield (11767 l/ha) with high standard heterosis (24.30%), as one of the parents in their cross combinations having high juice yielding. Hence, these parents can be further utilized in developing new superior hybrids for full exploitation of economically important traits in sweet sorghum. The fourth cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-498 has given the mean juice yield (11274 l/ha) with standard heterosis (10.30%) and fifth cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-499 exhibit the mean juice yield (10441 l/ha), standard heterosis (10.30%) [15].

Thus these five crosses viz., CMS-1409 x RSSV-512, ICMS-479 x RSSV-355, CMS-1409 x RSSV-355, CMS-1409 x RSSV-498 and CMS-1409 x RSSV-499 identified as promising crosses for juice yield, ethanol yield and its attributing traits and these crosses should be further tested in preliminary or multilocation hybrid trials for further commercial exploitation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The significant average heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis has been observed for juice yield and its contributing characters in most of the crosses. The cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-512 showed highest significant average heterosis (224.84%) and heterobeltiosis (286.36%) for juice yield. The extent of standard heterosis observed for juice yield over the check CSH-22 was upto 59.15 per cent. The highest significant standard heterosis was recorded by the cross CMS-1409 x RSSV-512 (59.15%) followed by cross ICMS-479

x RSSV-355 (43.58%) and CMS-1409 x RSSV-355 (24.30%). CMS-1409 x RSSV-498 (10.30%) and CMS-1409 x RSSV-499 (10.30%).

Thus these five crosses viz., CMS-1409 x RSSV-512, ICMS-479 x RSSV-355, CMS-1409 x RSSV-355, CMS-1409 x RSSV-498 and CMS-1409 x RSSV-499 identified as promising crosses for juice yield, ethanol yield and its attributing traits and these crosses should be further tested in preliminary or multilocation hybrid trials for further commercial exploitation.

CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented in the conference: 6th International Conference on Strategies and Challenges in Agricultural and Life Science for Food Security and Sustainable Environment (SCALFE-2023) on April 28-30, 2023 in Himachal Pradesh University, Summer Hill, Shimla, HP, India. Web Link of the proceeding: <https://www.shobhituniversity.ac.in/pdf/Souvenir-Abstract%20Book-Shimla-HPU-SCALFE-2023.pdf>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors grateful to the Department of Agricultural Botany and Sorghum Improvement Project, MPKV, Rahuri (MS) for the facilities provided and supply of seed material of sweet sorghum parental lines for present study. Authors has no conflict of interest.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Reddy BVS, Reddy SP. Sweet sorghum: Characteristics and potential. International Sorghum and Millets Newsletter. 2003; 44:26-28.
2. Rayan JG, Spencer DC. Future challenges and opportunities for agricultural R&D in the semi-arid tropics. India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 2001; 83.
3. Ratanavathi CV, Dayakar RB, Seetharama N. Sweet sorghum: A new raw material for fuel alcohol. Pages 32–41 in Study report on technological aspects in manufacturing ethyl alcohol from cereal grains in Maharashtra; 2004.
4. Chandel KPS, Paroda RS. Status of Plant Genetic Resources Conservation and Utilization in Asia-Pacific Region: Regional Synthesis Report FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand. 2000;158.
5. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi; 1995.
6. Meshram MP, Atlas SB, Murumkar RD, Raut PB. Heterosis and heterobeltiosis studies in sweet sorghum. Annals of Plant Physiology. 2005;19:96-98.
7. Rajashekar MK. Studies on heterosis, combining ability, stability and molecular diversity in sweet sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad; 2007.
8. Pfeiffer TW, Bitzer MJ, Toy JJ, Pedersen JF. Heterosis in sweet sorghum and selection of a new sweet sorghum hybrid for use in syrup production in Appalachia. Agronomy & Horticulture Faculty Publications. 2010; 942.
9. Sidramappa T, Gururaja R, Ramesh S, Kulkarni RS. Heterosis for ethanol yield and its attributing traits in sweet sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. International Journal of Plant Sciences. 2012;7(1):151-154.
10. Ganesh S, Khan AKF, Senthil N. Heterosis study for grain yield characters in sorghum. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1996;83:655-658.
11. Senthil N, Khan AKF. Heterosis in sweet sorghum hybrids. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1997;84:47-49.
12. Chaudhary SB, Narkhede BN, Jambhala VM. Heterosis studies in sorghum. Advances in Plant Science. 2004;17:333-335.
13. Sandeep RG, Gururaja R, Chikkalingaian S, Shivanna H. Assessment of variability for grain yield, ethanol yield and their attributing characters in germplasm accessions of sweet sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Science. 2009;43:472-476.
14. Sudhir Kumar A, Reddy KH, Srinivasa RP, Reddy SP, Reddy VS. Study of gene effects for stalk sugar yield and its component traits in sweet sorghum

- [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] 15. Hayes HK, Immer FR, Smith DC. Methods using generation mean analysis. Journal of Rangeland Science. 2011;1(2): 133.
- of plant breeding. Mc. Graw Hill Book Company, Incorporation, New York. 1955; 551.

© 2023 Ingle et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104586>