



Effect of Different Phosphorus Sources on Soil Physico-Chemical Property Dynamics under Chickpea Crop

Deshraj Meena^{a++*}, Ram Hari Meena^{a#}, Devendra Jain^{b†},
Tushar Kumar^{a++}, Ajit Kumar Meena^c, Neha Khardia^{a++}
and Dashrath Singh Chundawat^{d‡}

^a Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur (313001), Rajasthan, India.

^b Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur (313001), Rajasthan, India.

^c ICAR-National Bureau of Soil Science and Land Use Planning, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

^d Department of Animal Production, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, MPUAT, Udaipur (313001), Rajasthan, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i193549

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104911>

Original Research Article

Received: 13/06/2023

Accepted: 16/08/2023

Published: 18/08/2023

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during Rabi season of 2021-2022 to study the effect of rock phosphate (RP), poultry manure (PM) and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) on soil properties. The experiment was laid out in three replications with 9 treatments in Randomized Block design

⁺⁺Research Scholar;

[#]Professor;

[†]Assistant Professor;

[‡]Research Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: 57deshraj@gmail.com;

(RBD). Results revealed that the combined application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T₉) significantly decrease the bulk density but, higher soil porosity was observed with application of 100% P through PM (T₅) and 100% P through PM + PSB (T₈) were at par. Whereas, improved in soil pH and organic carbon were reported with the application of T₈. Also, the maximum available nitrogen (294.95 kg ha⁻¹) was acquired under T₈. However, the highest available phosphorus, potassium and available Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn content in soil were observed with T₉. Similarly, higher PSB population and acid phosphatase activity was recorded with application of T₉. Dehydrogenase activity was observed to be higher under T₈ at harvest of chickpea crop. It was concluded that application of phosphate, poultry manure and phosphate solubilizing bacteria improve the soil properties.

Keywords: Poultry manure; phosphorus; enzymatic activity; rock phosphate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Phosphorus (P) is an important nutrient for plant growth and development which plays a vital role in its metabolic activities and various functions [1]. Increasing demands for higher yields has put pressure on land resources which eventually increased demand for phosphate fertilizers [2]. However, overuse of fertilizers can not only cause harmful environmental impacts but also they are expensive [3], and have harmful impacts on the soil quality [4], composition [5], microflora [6] and other properties of soil [7]. Increasingly high cost of chemical fertilizers has been the major stimulus to search for an alternative, naturally-occurring phosphate source [8].

The ability of soil microorganisms and organic manures to convert insoluble phosphorus (P) to an accessible form offers a biological rescue system for improving P solubilization and utilization in soil-plant systems. Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria could be utilized as an effective and economic alternative to expensive synthetic P fertilizers with a documented potential to improve crop yields and soil properties [9]. *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* are the potential P-solubilizers commonly present in the soil [10,11]. Among these the *Bacillus megaterium* is the most effective phosphate solubilizer [12]. Use of RP as alternative P source has been increased in recent times due to the relative low cost and its utilization potential. In India phosphate rock deposits and this material will provide a cheap source of phosphate fertilizer for crop production [13]. Application of poultry manures with phosphatic source is considered another possible means of mobilizing P because of the acidic environment generated during decomposition of the manures

[14]. These organic manures increase the density of beneficial microbes, release acids in the root rhizosphere and may help to solubilize P and to increase P availability to the plants. In addition, combined use of RP, organic manures and bacterial inoculation is also considered an option that may increase the yield as well as soil properties. This research was thus aimed at establishing a clear linkage between the use and application of RP, PM, and PSB on nutrient dynamics and other related soil properties.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Site and Treatments

The experiment conducted at Instructional Farm, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur which is located at 24° 35' N latitude and 74° 42' Longitude at an elevation of 579.5 meters above mean sea level. It is located in region of Rajasthan Agro Climatic Zone IV-a (Sub-humid southern plains and Aravalli hills). The mean annual rainfall of Udaipur is 637 mm. The maximum and minimum temperatures, according to statistics, were 22.49 and 33.34°C, and 3.77 and 14.67°C, respectively. The maximum and minimum relative humidity readings were 54.43 and 90.57 percent, respectively, and 18.27 and 52.14 percent. The soil of the experimental site was clay loam in texture with 272.35 kg ha⁻¹, 21.62 kg ha⁻¹ and 368.50 kg ha⁻¹ available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium, respectively with 8.28 pH.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The experiment comprises nine treatment combinations of phosphorus source (single super phosphate (SSP), RP and PM with and without PSB) including one control (Table 1).

2.2 Soil Properties

2.2.1 Initial soil properties

A composite soil sample of experimental field was analyzed for bulk density, particle density, porosity, pH, EC, organic carbon, available macronutrients (N, P, and K) and micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu) by standard methods.

2.2.2 Soil properties after harvest of crop

Physico-Chemical and biological Properties:

Soil samples were drawn from each plot up

to 15 cm depth after harvest of crop. These were dried, ground to pass through 2 mm sieve and analyzed for organic carbon, pH, EC, BD, PD, available N and P₂O₅, K₂O, and DTPA extractable micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Cu, Zn) by standard methods presented in Table 2.

The data collected during worked out, whereas the F test was found significant at 5 per cent level of significance.

Table 1. Treatments details

Treatments Details	
T ₁	Control (No Application of Treatments)
T ₂	PSB
T ₃	100% P through SSP
T ₄	100% P through RP
T ₅	100% P through PM
T ₆	50% P through RP+ 50% P through PM
T ₇	100% P through RP+ PSB
T ₈	100% P through PM + PSB
T ₉	50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB

Note: P = Phosphorus, PSB= Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria, SSP=Single Super Phosphate, RP=Rock Phosphate, PM=Poultry Manure

Table 2. Methods employed for soil analysis

S. No.	Properties	Procedure	Reference
1.	Bulk density	Method No. 38 of USDA Hand Book No. 60	Richards [15]
2.	Particle density	Method No. 39 of USDA Hand Book No. 60	Richards [15]
3.	Porosity	Method No. 40 of USDA Hand Book No. 60	Richards [15]
4.	pH (1:2 soil water suspension)	Potentiometric method using pH meter	Richards [15]
5.	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	EC of 1:2 soil-water suspension with the help of "solubridge"	Richards [15]
6.	Organic Carbon (%)	Determination by rapid titration method	Walkley and Black [16]
7.	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	Estimation by alkaline potassium permanganate method	Subbiah and Asija [17]
8.	Available P (kg ha ⁻¹)	Olsen's P, 0.5 M NaHCO ₃ method, pH 8.5	Olsen et al. [18]
9.	Available K (kg ha ⁻¹)	Neutral ammonium acetate extraction and Flame photometry	Richards [15]
10.	Available Fe, Zn, Cu and Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	Extraction by 0.005 M DTPA + 0.01 M CaCl ₂ + 0.1 M triethanolamine at pH 7.3	Lindsay and Norvell [19]
11.	PSB population (x10 ⁶ cfu g ⁻¹)	Standard serial dilution and plate count method	Vance et al. [20]
12.	Acid and alkaline phosphatase activity (µg PNP g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹)	P-nitrophenol estimation method	Tabatabai and Bremner [21]
13.	Dehydrogenase activity (µg TPF g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹)	Colorimetric determination of TPF (Triphenyl formazon)	Casida et al. [22]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Soil Properties at Harvest of Chickpea Crop

Bulk Density, Particle Density and Porosity of Soil: The bulk density (Table 3) of soil ranged from 1.39 to 1.46 Mg m^{-3} among all the treatments. The lowest bulk density (1.39 Mg m^{-3}) was observed under the treatment of T_9 (50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB) and highest value of bulk density (1.46 Mg m^{-3}) was recorded with control (T_1).

Particle density of soil (Table 3) ranged from 2.57 to 2.65 Mg m^{-3} among all the treatments. The effect of rock phosphate, poultry manure and PSB on particle density of soil was found statistically non-significant. However, lowest particle density of soil was observed with the application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (2.57 Mg m^{-3}) and highest particle density of soil (2.65 Mg m^{-3}) was reported in control (T_1).

The results (Table 3) revealed that significantly higher porosity obtained with T_5 (100% P through PM) and T_8 (100% P through PM + PSB) followed by T_9 (50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB) and T_6 (50% P through RP + 50% P through PM). The minimum porosity (44.19%) was observed under T_4 (100% P through RP).

The application of RP+PM+PSB significantly decreased the bulk density (Bulk density is an indicator of soil compaction. It is calculated as

the dry weight of soil divided by its volume. This volume includes the volume of soil particles and the volume of pores among soil particles) and soil pH and improves the porosity and organic carbon content in soil over the control after harvest of chickpea. This reduction in soil bulk density might be attributed to the presence of high organic matter content in the poultry manure. This might be due to improving soil physical properties through decrease in the volume of micropores while increasing macropores, increased soil aggregation, improved aggregate stability, by organic manure applications [23]. The decrease in bulk density with increase in porosity of the soil may also be mainly due to action of gum compounds, polysaccharides and fulvic acid compound of organic matter on the soil structure [24].

Soil pH, Electrical Conductivity and Organic Carbon: The results (Table 3) revealed that pH was significantly decreased due to different treatments of phosphorus source as compared control. Minimum value of pH (8.12) observed under treatment of 100% P through PM + PSB (T_8) and maximum value of pH was observed with the control (T_1). The EC was not significantly influence by different treatments of phosphorus source. However, the EC of soil was affected with application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9) had lowest EC value of 0.592 dSm^{-1} which was superior in reducing the amounts of salts in the soil. The EC ranged from 0.592 to 0.631 dSm^{-1} among different treatments. The organic carbon content varied from 0.46 to 0.62 per cent among all treatments in the experiment. The highest organic carbon content

Table 3. Effect of different treatments on BD, PD, porosity, pH, electric conductivity and organic carbon of soil at harvest of chickpea crop

Treatment	Bulk density (Mg m^{-3})	Particle density (Mg m^{-3})	Porosity (%)	pH	EC (dS m^{-1})	OC (%)
T_1 : Control	1.46	2.65	44.9	8.28	0.63	0.46
T_2 : PSB	1.46	2.62	44.27	8.23	0.61	0.49
T_3 : 100% P through SSP	1.45	2.6	44.23	8.15	0.62	0.52
T_4 : 100% P through RP	1.44	2.58	44.19	8.19	0.61	0.5
T_5 : 100% P through PM	1.4	2.59	45.95	8.18	0.61	0.59
T_6 : 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM	1.4	2.58	45.73	8.17	0.59	0.53
T_7 : 100% P through RP + PSB	1.42	2.59	45.17	8.18	0.6	0.51
T_8 : 100% P through PM + PSB	1.4	2.58	45.95	8.12	0.6	0.62
T_9 : 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB	1.39	2.57	45.91	8.15	0.59	0.6
SEm \pm	0.015	0.05	0.527	0.03	0.01	0.011
CD (5%)	0.045	NS	1.579	0.09	NS	0.032

after harvest of crop (0.62%) was recorded under application of 100% P through PM + PSB (T_8) which was significantly highest as compared to other.

The highest available organic carbon after harvest of crop was recorded under application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9) which was significantly superior rest of treatments. The organic carbon content in soil was increased significantly with the application of rock phosphate with organic manures and PSB, which might be due to direct addition of organic carbon through organic amendments. They also stated that due to increase in soil available P resulted in better root growth consequently leading to accumulation of organic matter content in rhizosphere. Similar results were observed by Dalvi, [25] using rock phosphate with organic manures in chickpea and Abbasi et al., [26] in wheat. The present findings were in accordance with the observations of Aria et al., [27] and Khan and Sharif [28] who reported a significant decrease in soil pH after applying PSB.

Available Macronutrient and Micronutrient in Soil at Harvest of Crop: Application of various source of phosphorus significantly influenced the available nitrogen status of soil after harvest of chickpea crop. The maximum available nitrogen ($294.95 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) was acquired under treatment T_8 (100% P through PM + PSB) which was significantly superior over other treatments (Table 4). Results (Table 4) showed that different sources of phosphorus significantly influenced the available phosphorus content in soil after harvest of crop. The highest available phosphorus content in soil (28.84 kg ha^{-1}) was observed with the application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9) which was significantly highest as compared to others. Similarly, the results (Table 4) showed that application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9) was recorded highest available potassium ($373.62 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) status in soil after harvest of chickpea crop.

Application of various sources of phosphorus significantly increased iron content in soil after harvest of chickpea crop over control (T_1). The highest available Fe content (5.59 mg kg^{-1}) in soil was obtained under application of 100% P through PM + PSB (T_8) followed by 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9). Whereas, the maximum available manganese

(4.41 mg kg^{-1}), Cu (2.47 mg kg^{-1}), zinc (0.63 mg kg^{-1}) status (Table 4) in the soil was recorded under treatment T_8 (100% P through PM + PSB).

The integrated application of rock phosphate, poultry manure and phosphate solubilizing bacteria significantly increased (Using method of randomized block design) the available nutrients in soil after harvest of chickpea crop. The higher available nitrogen was observed with PM+PSB whereas available phosphorus, potassium and micronutrients were obtained with RP+PM+PSB. The higher availability of nutrients may also be attributed to its inherent capacity to add good amount of organic manure to the soil, which hastens the process of mineralization of organically bound macro and micronutrients present in the native soil. The availability of nutrients was increased due to formation of organics chelates of higher stability with organics ligands, which have lower susceptibility to adsorption, fixation and precipitation in soil. Increase in available N, P and K might be due to the direct addition of N through organic manure, PSB and increased multiplication of soil microbes, which might have converted organical bound N to inorganic form. The beneficial effect of combined application of RP+PM+PSB on root growth and development of chickpea which led to more N_2 fixation, P solubilization and potassium mobilization so that absorbed more soil nutrients from subsurface layers to meet their requirement and part of which was left in surface soil with the root residues after harvest of the crop decomposed and improved the soil fertility [29]. It was reported that higher availability of Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu in soil under integrated use of RP+PM+PSB was mainly due to its functions in mobilizing the native micro nutrients and chelation. Soil iron has a strong tendency to form mobile organic complexes and chelates thus contributing to increased availability of iron in soil. The higher level of micronutrient content in soil samples after harvesting may be attributed to role of organic matter and microbial activity also played a significant role toward increasing the concentration of micronutrient in the post-harvest soil. The role of organic matter in complexing micronutrient is important because organic matter can affect the redox status of soils. The microbial decomposition of added organic matter in continuous crops creates reducing conditions that favor micronutrient solubilization. The results of the present investigation are similar to Abbasi et al., [26].

Table 4. Effect of rock phosphate, poultry manure and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on available macro and micro nutrients in soil at harvest of chickpea crop

Treatment	Nitrogen (kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphorus (kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	Fe				Zn
				Mn	Cu		(mg kg ⁻¹)	
Control	258.53	16.25	337.86	5.11	4.04	2.47	0.54	
PSB	262.27	21.3	359	5.38	4.21	2.77	0.56	
100% P through SSP	275.56	23.52	363.85	5.55	4.24	2.94	0.6	
100% P through RP	271.09	23.22	362.78	5.42	4.21	2.82	0.58	
100% P through PM	292.33	22.44	367.63	5.45	4.32	2.9	0.62	
50% P through RP + 50% P through PM	281.22	24.5	369.52	5.47	4.29	2.87	0.61	
100% P through RP + PSB	272.52	25.52	360.76	5.5	4.35	2.85	0.6	
100% P through PM + PSB	294.95	24.2	369.42	5.59	4.41	2.97	0.63	
50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB	285.85	28.84	373.62	5.56	4.38	2.93	0.62	
SEm±	5.65	0.53	4.07	0.06	0.61	0.054	0.009	
CD (5%)	16.95	1.58	12.19	0.18	0.182	0.162	0.026	

Table 5. Effect of rock phosphate, poultry manure and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on biological properties of soil at harvest of chickpea crop

Treatment	PSB population (1 x 10 ⁷ cfu g ⁻¹)	Acid phosphatase activity (µg PNP g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹)	Alkaline phosphatase activity (µg PNP g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹)	Dehydrogenase activity (µg TPF g ⁻¹ soil h ⁻¹)
T ₁ : Control	41.57	14.75	8.91	6.23
T ₂ : PSB	49.38	17.82	12.32	9.17
T ₃ : 100% P through SSP	47.56	19.68	13.50	8.72
T ₄ : 100% P through RP	45.30	16.77	11.07	8.22
T ₅ : 100% P through PM	50.76	19.07	12.69	13.74
T ₆ : 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM	50.84	21.54	14.97	11.77
T ₇ : 100% P through RP + PSB	53.92	19.36	13.55	11.29
T ₈ : 100% P through PM + PSB	54.48	21.04	15.27	14.65
T ₉ : 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB	55.83	21.98	15.90	13.68
SEm±	0.805	0.471	0.488	0.245
CD (5%)	2.414	1.411	1.463	0.733

Biological Properties: The PSB population in soil at harvest of chickpea was significantly influenced by various treatments of phosphorus source over control. The maximum PSB population (55.83×10^7 cfu g^{-1} of soil) was recorded under application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9). Acid phosphatase activity in soil at harvest is ranged from 14.75 to 21.98 μg PNP g^{-1} soil h^{-1} . The maximum acid phosphatase activity in soil (21.98 μg PNP g^{-1} soil h^{-1}) was observed under application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9). Similarly, the maximum alkaline phosphatase activity (15.90 μg PNP g^{-1} soil h^{-1}) was observed under application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9). Whereas, the Dehydrogenase activity in soil at harvest ranged from 6.23 to 14.65 μg TPF g^{-1} soil h^{-1} . The highest dehydrogenase activity (14.65 μg TPF g^{-1} soil h^{-1}) was recorded under application of 100% P through PM + PSB (T_8).

The result showed that application of phosphorus through different sources significantly influence the PSB population and enzymatic activities in soil over control. The maximum PSB population, acid phosphatase activities, alkaline phosphatase activities and dehydrogenase activity was recorded under application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T_9). The increased in PSB population might be due to the combined application of PSB, rock phosphate and poultry manure increase supply of organic carbon, macro nutrients and micro nutrients which serves as a food for microbes. Organic amendments can encourage the growth of microorganisms, which could potentially increase the soil's supply of enzymes [30]. An essential part of the biological oxidation of soil organic

materials is played by the respiratory enzyme dehydrogenase. The highest dehydrogenase activity found may be the result of enhanced respiratory activity brought on by bacteria growing more quickly in the presence of easily available nutrients and carbon [31]. In order to make fixed soil phosphorus available to plants, soil enzymes (alkaline phosphatase and acid phosphatase) are essential. The availability of organic carbon may have increased the soil phosphatase activity, which in turn increased the alkaline phosphatase activities with the application of RP with poultry manure in the current study additionally, the poultry manure may have provided a significant amount of carbon and nitrogen for the microbes' maximum growth [32]. Similar results were in agreement with Shrivastava et al., [33] who concluded that the availability of metabolizable carbon plays a significant role in increasing soil phosphatase activity with the application of P enriched manure on mungbean crop.

Periodically Availability of Phosphorus in Soil: The results (Table 6) revealed that application of different sources of phosphorus treatments significantly increased available phosphorus in soil at 20 days over control. The maximum available phosphorus in soil at 20 days (25.26 kg ha^{-1}) and 40 days (25.05 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded under application of 100% P through SSP (T_3). A critical analysis of data revealed that availability of phosphorus in soil at 40 days improved in all the treatments except control (T_1) and 100% P through SSP (T_3) where it was slightly decrease as compared to availability of phosphorus in soil at 20 days. The lowest available phosphorus at 40 days (17.06 kg ha^{-1}) was observed under control (T_1). The highest

Table 6. Effect of rock phosphate, poultry manure and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on periodically available phosphorus in soil

Treatment	Soil available phosphorus (kg ha^{-1})			
	20 days	40 days	60 days	90 days
T_1 : Control	19.18	17.06	15.57	16.25
T_2 : PSB	20.34	20.58	20.75	21.00
T_3 : 100% P through SSP	25.26	25.00	24.50	23.80
T_4 : 100% P through RP	22.32	22.58	22.88	23.00
T_5 : 100% P through PM	20.95	21.56	21.90	22.30
T_6 : 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM	23.42	23.75	24.27	24.30
T_7 : 100% P through RP + PSB	24.42	24.88	25.12	25.38
T_8 : 100% P through PM + PSB	23.05	23.25	23.70	24.51
T_9 : 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB	24.84	25.00	25.40	26.25
SEm \pm	0.26	0.37	0.38	0.78
CD (5%)	0.78	1.12	1.14	2.33

available phosphorus at 60 days (25.35 kg ha⁻¹) and 90 days (26.25 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB (T₉). The results shows that integrated treatments RP+PM, RP+PSB, PM+PSB and RP+PM+PSB improved availability of phosphorus in soil at 90 days as compared to alone application of RP, PM and PSB.

Application of PM+PSB and RP+PM+PSB significantly increased phosphorus at different days during crop growth. The maximum available phosphorus in soil (25.26 kg ha⁻¹) at 20 and 40 days was recorded with the application of 100% P through SSP whereas, at 60 as well as 90 days, maximum availability of phosphorus was observed with the application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB. This might be because of addition of organic manures enhanced the activity of PSB by providing source of energy as carbon, which may reflected in increased solubilization of phosphorus added through rock phosphate. The chickpea roots released the organic acids like succinic and malic acid during growth period. These organic reduced the soil pH and CaCO₃ content in soil, which in turn reduced the conversion of soluble phosphorus to insoluble phosphorus (Ca (PO₄)₃ or CaHPO₄ [34]. The addition of organic manures might change the adsorption desorption phenomenon in soil. These results of present finding are in line with Dalvi, [25] in chickpea and Saurabh, [35] in wheat. The PSB increased P solubilization of added P fertilizers either from soluble or insoluble sources and moreover, the relative efficiency of PSB for releasing P is higher when PSB applied with PM [36]. Toor [37] found a substantial increase in soil solution P following the application of PM with P fertilizers because of the release of organic acids during decomposition of the manure and production of carbon dioxide during organic matter decomposition that may increase the solubility of Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ phosphates. Poultry manure acidify soil by releasing H⁺ ions into the soil [38] as a result P solubility/mineralization of both exogenously applied and soil indigenous P increases [39].

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the above findings it can be concluded that Chickpea with the application of 50% P through RP + 50% P through PM + PSB recorded higher periodically availability of nutrients and improved soil properties.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Malhotra H, Vandana Sharma S, Pandey R. Phosphorus nutrition: Plant growth in response to deficiency and excess. *Plant Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance*. 2018;171-190.
2. Mala P. Fertilizer scenario in India. *International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research*. 2013;2(1):62-72.
3. Sharma SP, Subehia SK. Effects of twenty-five years of fertilizer use on maize and wheat yields and quality of an acidic soil in the western Himalayas. *Experimental Agriculture*. 2003;39(1):55-64.
4. Cheraghi M, Lorestani B, Merrikhpour H, Rouniasi N. Heavy metal risk assessment for potatoes grown in overused phosphate-fertilized soils. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. 2013;185:1825-1831.
5. Lwin CS, Seo BH, Kim HU, Owens G, Kim KR. Application of soil amendments to contaminated soils for heavy metal immobilization and improved soil quality— A critical review. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 2018;64(2):156-167.
6. Kafle A, Cope KR, Raths R, Krishna Yakha J, Subramanian S, Bücking H, Garcia K. Harnessing soil microbes to improve plant phosphate efficiency in cropping systems. *Agronomy*. 2019;9(3):127.
7. Jiao W, Chen W, Chang AC, Page AL. Environmental risks of trace elements associated with long-term phosphate fertilizers applications: A review. *Environmental Pollution*. 2012;168:44-53.
8. Langemeier M. Trends in General Inflation and Farm Input Prices. *Farmdoc Daily*. 2022;12:56.
9. Billah M, Khan M, Bano A, Hassan TU, Munir A, Gurmani AR. Phosphorus and phosphate solubilizing bacteria: Keys for sustainable agriculture. *Geomicrobiology Journal*. 2019;36(10):904-916.
10. Zineb AB, Trabelsi D, Ayachi I, Barhoumi F, Aroca R, Mhamdi R. Inoculation with elite strains of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria enhances the effectiveness of fertilization with rock phosphates.

- Geomicrobiology Journal. 2020;37(1):22-30.
11. Emami S, Alikhani HA, Pourbabaee AA, Etesami H, Motasharezadeh B, Sarmadian F. Consortium of endophyte and rhizosphere phosphate solubilizing bacteria improves phosphorous use efficiency in wheat cultivars in phosphorus deficient soils. *Rhizosphere*. 2020;14:100196.
 12. Wang Z, Chen H, Zhu Z, Xing S, Wang S, Chen B. Low-temperature straw biochar: Sustainable approach for sustaining higher survival of *B. megaterium* and managing phosphorus deficiency in the soil. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2022;830:154790.
 13. Soumare A, Boubekri K, Lyamlouli K, Hafidi M, Ouhdouch Y, Kouisni L. Efficacy of phosphate solubilizing Actinobacteria to improve rock phosphate agronomic effectiveness and plant growth promotion. *Rhizosphere*. 2021;17:100284.
 14. Kaleem MA, Manzoor M. Biosolubilization of phosphorus from rock phosphate and other P fertilizers in response to phosphate solubilizing bacteria and poultry manure in a silt loam calcareous soil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*. 2018;181(3):345-356.
 15. Richards LA. *Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline-alkali Soils*. Agriculture Handbook No. 60, USDA, Washington; 1954.
 16. Walkely A, Black CA. An examination of different methods for determining soil organic matter and proposed modifications of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. 1934;37:29-38.
 17. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*. 1956;25:254-260.
 18. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA. Circle. 1954;939:19-33.
 19. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 1978;42:421-428.
 20. Vance ED, Brookes PC, Jenkinson DS. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass carbon. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 1987;19:703-707.
 21. Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM. Use of nitrophenyl phosphate assay of soil phosphatase activity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 1969;1:301-307.
 22. Casida LE, Klein DE, Santoro. Soil dehydrogenase activity. *Soil Science*. 1964;98(6):371-376.
 23. Brar BS, Singh J, Singh G, Kaur G. Effects of long term application of inorganic and organic fertilizers on soil organic carbon and physical properties in maize-wheat rotation. *Agronomy*. 2015;5:220-238.
 24. Manickam TS. Organics in soil fertility and productivity management. In: *Organics in soil health and crop production*. (Ed. P.K. Thampan). Peekay Tree Crops Development Foundation, Cochin, India. 1993;95.
 25. Dalvi SS. Effect of rock phosphate with organic manures on nutrient uptake and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). M.Sc.(Ag.)Thesis, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra; 2011.
 26. Abbasi MK, Mansha S, Rahim N, Ali A. Agronomic effectiveness and phosphorus utilization efficiency of rock phosphate applied to winter wheat. *Agronomy Journal*. 2013;105(6):1606-1612.
 27. Aria MM, Lakzian A, Haghnia GH, Berenji AR, Besharati H, Fotovat A. Effect of Thiobacillus, sulfur, and vermicompost on the watersoluble phosphorus of hard rock phosphate. *Bioresource Technology*. 2010;10:551-554.
 28. Khan M, Sharif M. Solubility enhancement of phosphorus from rock phosphate through composting with poultry litter. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 2012;28:415-420.
 29. Jangir CK, Singh DP, Meena RH, Yadav M. Effect of fertility levels and biofertilizers on physical and chemical properties of soil under blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.) *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;6(3):22-25.
 30. Chang EH, Chung RS, Tsai YH. Effect of different application rates of organic fertilizer on soil enzyme activity and microbial population. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 2007;53(2):132-140.
 31. Chatterjee D, Nayak AK, Mishra A, Swain CK, Kumar U, Bhaduri D, et al. Effect of long term organic fertilization in flooded rice soil on phosphorus transformation and phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 2021;21(2):1368-1381.

32. Billah M, Khan M, Bano A, Nisa S, Hussain A, Dawar KM, Munir A, Khan N. Rock phosphate-enriched compost in combination with Rhizobacteria: A cost-effective source for better soil health and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) productivity. *Agronomy*. 2020;10(9):1390.
33. Shrivastava M, Kale SP, Dsouza SF. Rock phosphate enriched postmethanation bio-sludge from kitchen wastebased biogas plant as P source for mungbean and its effect on rhizosphere phosphatase activity. *European Journal of Soil Biology*. 2011;47:205-212.
34. Panneerselvam S, Lourduraj AC, Balasubramaniam N. Soil available phosphorus and its uptake by soybean as influenced by organic manures, inorganic fertilizers and weed management practices. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2000;34(1):9-16.
35. Saurabh P. Effect of rock phosphate with organic manures on nutrient uptake and yield of wheat. M.Sc. (Ag.), Thesis, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Maharashtra; 2012.
36. Abbasi MK, Musa N, Manzoor M. Phosphorus release capacity of soluble P fertilizers and insoluble rock phosphate in response to phosphate solubilizing bacteria and poultry manure and their effect on plant growth promotion and P utilization efficiency of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Biogeosciences*. 2015;12(2):1839-1873.
37. Toor GS. Enhancing phosphorus availability in low-phosphorus soils by using poultry manure and commercial fertilizer. *Soil Science*. 2009;174:358-364.
38. Alvarez R, Evans LA, Milham PJ, Wilson MA. Effects of humic material on the precipitation of calcium phosphate. *Geoderma*. 2004;118:245-260.
39. Qin J, Xiong H, Ma H, Li Z. Effects of different fertilizers on residues of oxytetracycline and microbial activity in soil. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2019;26(1):161-170.

© 2023 Meena et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104911>