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ABSTRACT

Teff [Eragrostis tef (Zucc.) Trotter] is the main staple food of Ethiopia. It ranks the first among cereals in the country in area coverage and second in the production volume; however, its productivity is almost stagnant. The Quncho (Dz-Cr-387) teff variety was sown during the main cropping season of 2017 at the Limo District, Southern Ethiopia. The objective of this research was to study the effect of four nitrogen fertilizer rates (0, 32.5, 65 and 97.5 kg N/ha) and three inter-row spacings (15, 20 and 25 cm), to evaluate the effects on yield and yield components of teff and to identify the economically appropriate nitrogen rates and inter-row spacing that maximize the yield of teff. A factorial experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 12 treatment combinations and three replications. Phenological and yield-related parameters were measured. The main effects of N rate and inter-row spacing showed significant differences (P≤0.05) for all yield and yield components. The effects of N rate by inter-row spacing interaction were not significant for some traits except for the lodging index, biomass yield, grain yield, and straw yield and harvest index. Application of N rate at 97.5 kg/ha and inter-row spacing with 25 cm significantly (P≤0.01) increased grain yield of teff. Moreover, both N fertilizer rates and wider inter-row spacing increased the magnitudes of the important yield attributes including plant height.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: tamiratwato1@gmail.com;
panicle length, number of effective tillers per plant, thousand seed weight, biomass yield and straw yield significantly (P ≤ 0.01) and also inter-row spacing increased the magnitudes of important yield attributes significance (P ≤ 0.05). From the results of the study, it is possible to conclude that increased application of nitrogen fertilizer rate and row spacing improves yield and yield components of teff. Therefore, the application of 97.5 kg N/ha and inter-row spacing of 25 cm gave maximum yield which can be recommended for the study area.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Teff [Eragrostis tef (Zucc.) Trotter] is among the main cereals of Ethiopia [1]. It has the largest value in terms of both production and consumption in Ethiopia [2,3]. It is mostly used to prepare a spongy flatbread called “enjera”, which is consumed by about 70% of the Ethiopian people [4,5]. It is typically hand-broadcasted on the field and, in most cases, seeds are left uncovered [6]. When grown as a cereal, farmers highly value its straw as a source of animal feed, especially during the dry season [7]. Teff straw, besides being the most appreciated feed for cattle, is also used to reinforce mud and plaster the walls of tukuls and local grain storage facilities called gottera [8,9,10]. Moreover, it has got many prospects outside of Ethiopia due to its gluten freeness, tolerance to biotic and abiotic stress, animal feed and erosion control quality [6,10].

Teff accounts first in area coverage and second in total annual production next to maize, and ranks the lowest yield compared with other cereals grown in Ethiopia [11,9]. It is cultivated in an area of about 2.8 million hectares which takes up about 28.5% of the total grain cropping area [5].

In spite of the aforementioned importance, its productivity is very low (1.46 t ha⁻¹) as compared to other major cereals [11]. Some of the factors contributing to low yield are low soil fertility, suboptimal use of mineral fertilizers, weeds, uneven rainfall distribution in lower altitudes, lack of high yielding cultivars, lodging, water-logging, and low moisture [12]. Farmers in Ethiopian highlands apply N fertilizer in the form of Urea at sub-optimal blanket rates, mostly only once at sowing, and this limits the potential productivity of cereal crops [13]. Farmers in the Limo district also apply low amounts of nitrogen only one time at sowing. In general, blanket recommendations regardless of considering the physical and chemical properties of the soil as well as the application of full dose at one time, do not lead to increase teff productivity.

Even if producers do not give attention to teff row spacing, it has an advantage for shorter maturity days, higher plant height and panicle length, a greater number of tillers and less lodging percentages which helps to improve grain yield [14]. Those above-mentioned problems are real challenges in the study area. There is a significant reduction of yield of teff in the Limo district due to usage of inappropriate row spacing and lack of area-specific N rate application. Therefore, it is of paramount importance to develop and recommend appropriate row spacing and optimum rate of N fertilizer for maximizing teff production in the study area.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Wachemo University experimental site in Limo district, in the 2017 cropping season. It lays an altitude of 1500-2300 M.a.s.l. The location with 7°14’ to 7° 45’ North latitude and 37° 5’ to 37° 50’ East Longitude, representing a high altitude. The area receives a mean annual rainfall of 1800 mm with mean maximum temperature of 24°C and a minimum of 16°C (Fig. 1).

2.1 Treatments and Experimental Materials

The experiment was designed in factorial randomized complete block design consisting of four levels of N fertilizer rates (0, 32.5, 65 and 97.5 kg/ha) and three-row spacings (15, 20 and 25 cm).

Each treatment was replicated three times, with twelve treatment combinations. Each plot had an area of 1.5 m * 2.25 m. The row spacings of 15, 20 and 25 cm had 15, 11 and 9 rows, respectively. The net plot size was 2.34 m² and a spacing of 0.5 and 1 m was maintained respectively between plots and replications. The
Quncho (Dz-CR-387) teff variety, which is released by Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center, was used for the experiment.

2.2 Field Management Practices

Land preparation was done according to farmers' practice in the area and leveling was carried out manually to ensure better seedbed for the small seeds of teff. All Triple Super Phosphate (TSP) and half of the Urea were applied at the time of sowing for row planting. The remaining Urea was applied at the tillering stage of the crop. This was done to reduce leaching losses of nutrients and to harmonize the supply with crop demand. Moreover, weeding was done alike to farmers' practice in the area.

2.3 Soil Sampling and Analysis

A composite soil sample from the 0-15 cm layer was taken independently, at 10 representative spots. The soil physio-chemical parameters were analyzed for this study. Soil organic matter was determined by following the Walkley and Black method [21]. Soil pH was determined in 1:2.5 soil: water ratio using a glass electrode attached to a digital pH meter. Total N was determined by the Kjeldahl method [22]. Available P was determined by Olsen and Bray II method [23]. Soil Cation exchange capacity was determined by using 1 M ammonium acetate.

2.4 Data Collection and Measurements

Phenological parameters: Days to panicle emergence was recorded as the number of days from seedling emergence to the time when the tips of panicles of at least ten first emerged from the main shoot in each plot. Days to physiological maturity was taken as the number of days elapsed from seedling emergence to the date when 90% of the crop stems, leaves and floral parts in a plot changed to a light-yellow color. Plant height was measured as the height of plants in centimeters from the base of the main stem to the tip of the panicle and recorded as the average of ten randomly selected plants. Panicle length was measured as the length of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total nitrogen (%)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Havlin et al. [15]</td>
<td>Deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available phosphorus (mgkg⁻¹)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Olsen et al. [16]</td>
<td>Sufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon (%)</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>Roy et al. [17]</td>
<td>Deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter Content</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Sahlemedhin [18]</td>
<td>Deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (H₂O)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Slightly Acidic</td>
<td>FAO [19]</td>
<td>Suitable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cation Exchangeable Capacity (meqkg⁻¹)</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Sahlemedhin [20]</td>
<td>Sufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Texture class</td>
<td>Silty loam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rain fall</th>
<th>Min.Temp</th>
<th>Max.Temp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>Mar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. The minimum and maximum temperature and mean rainfall of the experimental area during 2017 (Source: Hawassa Meteorological Data Station, 2017)
panicle of the main shoot from the node where the first panicle, branch starts to the tip of the panicle as the average of ten randomly selected plants at physiological maturity.

Yield parameters: The number of fertile tillers was counted including the main shoot from an area of ten randomly selected plants from each plot. 1000-seeds weight was determined using a sensitive balance. Grain yield was recorded as the weight of the air-dried seeds harvested from the net plot size of each plot in kg. For analysis, g/plot was converted to kg/ha. The straw yield was determined by subtracting grain yield from above-ground dry biomass yield. Biomass yield at maturity, the whole plant parts, including leaves and stems, and seeds from the net plot area were harvested and after drying, the biomass was measured. Harvest index was recorded as the ratio of grain yield to shoot biomass at harvest in kg from the net plot.

Lodging percentage: The degree of lodging was assessed just before the time of harvesting by visual observation based on the scales of 1-5. Where 1 (0-15%) indicates no lodging and 5 (60-90%) indicates 100% lodging [24]. The scales were determined by the angle of inclination of the main stem from the vertical line to the base of the stem by visual observation.

2.5 Statistical Data Analysis

The Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures by using SAS version 9.3 with a general linear model procedure. Mean separation (mean differences comparison) was undertaken by the Least Significant Difference test at a 5 percent level of significance.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Days to 50% Panicle Emergence

The analysis of variance indicated that days to panicle emergence were significantly (P<0.01) affected by the main effects but their interaction was not significantly (P>0.05) different (Table 2).

Application of N at 97.5 kg/ha significantly delayed panicle emergence in response to N application.

Similarly, panicle emergence was also significantly delayed with the successive enlargement in-row spacing (Table 2). The earlier panicle emergence due to slender row spacing might have reduced the rate of photosynthesis because of the competition of plants for light, space, nutrients, and water. In conformity with the present study, Gorgy [26] reported one day earlier panicle emergence in plots with 15 cm in relation to 25 cm row spacing.

3.2 Days to Physiological Maturity

The analysis of variance showed that days to 90% maturity were significantly (P<0.01) affected by the main effects but their interaction effects were not significantly (P>0.05) different (Table 2).

Application of a high rate N delayed teff maturity, which was significant with the increase in nitrogen application rates (Table 2). Hence, it was postponed by twenty-seven days in response to receiving 97.5 kg N/ha, in relation to the control treatment (Table 2). This might be attributed to an increase of chlorophyll, which keeps the plant photosynthetically active for a longer period. This result is incoherent with the findings of Temesgen [27] who found that high N application rates caused physiological maturity to delay due to the direct effect of N on the vegetative growth in teff.

Physiological maturity was significantly earlier at the closer inter-row spacing of 15 cm, in relation to 20 and 25 cm row spacings (Table 3). The earlier physiological maturity due to closer row spacing might be the presence of intense inter-space competition which led to the depletion of the available nutrients and as result plants tended to mature earlier. The current finding was in accordance with the work of Wubante [28] who concluded that plants grown at 15 cm row spacing significantly shortened days to 90% physiological maturity than those grown at the wider row spacings.

3.3 Plant Height

Plant height was significantly (P<0.01) affected by the main effects but their interaction was not significantly (P>0.05) different (Table 2).
The plants attained significantly maximum plant height with a further increase in the N application rate. Thus, the highest plant height (125.02 cm) was obtained with the application of 97.5 kg N/ha which was 39.5, 26.23 and 8% greater than the control, 32.5, 65 kg N/ha, respectively (Table 2). This may be caused by the fact that N usually favors vegetative growth of teff, happening in the higher status of the plants with the tallest plant height. In line with this result, Haftamu [25] described that teff with the greatest plant height was obtained by applying a maximum amount of nitrogen rate.

The inter-row spacing of 25 cm resulted in significantly higher plant height (103.82 cm) than 15 cm row spacing. The plants in 25 cm row spacing were 4 and 1.18% taller than the plants in 15 and 20 cm row spacing, respectively (Table 2). This might be due to less competition of crops for nutrients that provide a better environment for the growth and development of the crop. Similarly, Mahato [29] reported that maximum plant height was obtained with wider spacing as compared to closer spacing in rice.

### 3.4 Panicle Length

The analysis of variance indicated that the main effect of nitrogen fertilizer rates was highly significantly (P≤0.01) affected panicle length and also row spacing was significantly (P≤0.05) influenced panicle length. However, the interaction factors were not significantly (P≥0.05) different (Table 3).

Panicle length is one of the yield attributes that contribute to grain yield. An increase in the rate of N application increased the panicle length of teff. Thus, the maximum panicle length (44.9 cm) was recorded when 97.5 kg/ha N was applied, which was 23.4, 16.35, and 8.9% higher than the control treatment, 32.5 and 65 kg N/ha, respectively (Table 3). Having a long panicle is directly related to the yield of teff. The increment in panicle length due to higher N application might be the better N position of the plant during the panicle growth period. Consistent with this result, Awan [30] reported the highest panicle length found in treatments receiving higher nitrogen rates.

The outcome of this study showed that wider inter-row spacings (25 and 20 cm) led to significantly higher panicle lengths than the closer spacing of 15 cm inter-row spacing (Table 3). The improvement in panicle length due to wider row spacing was probably due to the greater availability of growth resources and might be an increase in chlorophyll formation. Consistent with this study, Hasanuzzaman [31] reported the higher number of tillers obtained in the widely spaced plants was more effective in mobilizing photosynthates for panicle length and grain filling compared to closely spaced plants resulting in a higher number of panicle length.

### 3.5 Number of Fertile Tillers

The number of fertile tillers was significantly (P<0.01) affected by the main effects but their interaction was not significantly (P>0.05) different (Table 3).

### Table 2. Days to 50% panicle emergence, days to 90% physiological maturity and plant height were influenced by nitrogen fertilizer rates and inter-row spacing on teff in Limo district, SNNPR in 2017 main cropping season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main effect</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N- rate (kg/ha)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>55.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>99.4d</td>
<td>75.82d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>60.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>107.3c</td>
<td>114.23c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>67.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>117.5b</td>
<td>114.96b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>71.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>126.4a</td>
<td>125.02a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCD</td>
<td>2.134</td>
<td>3.916</td>
<td>3.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Row spacing (cm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>61.25&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>108.16b</td>
<td>99.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>64.58&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>114.58a</td>
<td>102.59&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>64.92&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>115.08a</td>
<td>103.82&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCD</td>
<td>1.848</td>
<td>3.391</td>
<td>3.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CV (%)</strong></td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LCD**: Mean of Least Critical Difference; **CV**: Coefficient of Variance, **PE**: Panicle Emergence, **PM**: Physiological Maturity, **PH**: Plant Height (cm), means within the same column and within the same treatment category followed by the same superscript letters are not significantly different at 5% probability level.
In the current study, it was found that with the successive increase in nitrogen application rates, the number of effective tillers also increased significantly. The maximum numbers of effective tillers (13.79) were obtained with the application of 97.5 kg N/ha, which was higher by 56.3, 38.22 and 11.53%, over the control treatment, 32.5, and 65 kg N/ha respectively (Table 3). This might have been obtained due to the greater availability of N that might have played a vital role in cell division. Consistent with these results, Haftamu [25] reported a significantly greater number of tillers in response to the application of a high N rate in tef.

Increasing row spacing from 15 cm to 25 cm increased the number of effective tillers. However, the number was significantly greater with 20 and 25 cm row spacing than with 15 cm row spacing. However, no significant differences were observed between 20 and 25 cm of inter-row spacing. The increase in the number of effective tillers with 20 and 25 cm was 18.9 and 21.5%, respectively over 15 cm row spacing (Table 3). This may probably be due to better access to space, nutrients, water, and light in wider spacing. Similarly, Sultana [32] found the highest number of effective tillers with 25 cm row spacing in rice.

### 3.6 Thousand Seed Weight

The analysis of variance indicated that the thousand seed weight was significantly (P<0.01) affected by the main effects but their interaction was not significantly (P>0.05) different (Table 3).

This study indicated that the application of nitrogen rate influenced thousand seed weight. The highest thousand seed weight (0.388 g) was recorded at an N rate of 65 kg/ha and the lowest (0.284 g) was recorded from the control treatment (Table 4). However, these nitrogen rates had significantly higher thousand seed weight than that of the control treatment. The improvement in 1000-seed weight due to the N application rate might be the increase in chlorophyll concentration which led to a higher photosynthetic rate for grain development and then, reducing with further application of N (Table 3). In line with this result is, Ahmed [33], who found that the weight of 1000-grains was maximum when nitrogen applied at a rate of 40 kg/ha in rice.

The results showed that with the increase in inter-row spacing the thousand seed weight also increased slightly. Thousand seed weight was slightly maximums at 25 cm inter-row spacing as compared to 20 cm but statistically not significant between them. However, the lowest 1000-seed weight was recorded at 15 cm inter-row spacing (Table 4). Higher 1000-seed weight noted in wider rows might be a more efficient utilization of water, nutrients, and light due to minimal inter-row competition and lower plant population. The results are in line with those of Alaunyte [34] who obtained increased grain weight at wider row spacing (22.5 cm) for teff.

### 3.7 Biomass Yield

The analysis of variance showed that biomass yield was significantly (P<0.01) influenced by
both the main as well as by interaction effects (Table 4). Biomass yield generally increased significantly (P<0.01) with the increase in the rate of nitrogen across the increasing inter-row spacing. The highest biomass yield (1313.3 kg/ha) was found from a combination of 97.5 kg N/ha with 25 cm row spacing. Whereas, the lowest biomass yield (8046.7 kg/ha) was obtained from a combination of control with 15 cm inter-row spacing (Table 4). Hence, an increase in N rates and wider row spacing of the aboveground dry biomass increased yield significantly.

The main effect of N fertilizer rates was highly significantly (P<0.01) affected the biomass yield of teff. The highest biomass yield (12607.78 kg/ha) was achieved from a 97.5 kg N/ha application. Whereas, the lowest biomass (8374.44 kg/ha) was obtained from the control treatment (Table 4). In general, the further increase in nitrogen fertilizer rate increased the biomass yield of teff. Similar results were reported by Dutta [35] who found the highest biomass yield by applying high N/ha. The increment in biomass yield due to high nitrogen might be high N application positively causes high vegetative growth and enlargement of stem cells that consequently increased biomass yield.

Row spacing was significantly (P<0.01) affected the biomass yield. The highest biomass yield (10970.8 kg/ha) was observed from plants that were planted with 25 cm inter-row spacing and the lowest biomass yield (10227.5 kg/ha) was obtained from 15 cm inter-row spacing followed by 20 cm (Table 4). In general, a further increase in inter-row spacing increased biomass yield. The increase in aboveground dry biomass in response to increasing (widening) the inter-row spacing might be due to the better environment for growth and development of the crop that might have resulted in improved plant height, more effective tillers and panicle length (Tables 2 and 3). Ali [36] also found increased biomass yield with wider inter-row spacing due to the higher production of tillers in rice.

3.8 Grain Yield

Grain yield was significantly (P<0.01) affected by both, the main as well as by interaction effects (Table 4). The interaction effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and row spacing were significantly (P<0.01) affected grain. The highest grain yield (3403.3 kg/ha) was observed for the combination of 97.5 kg N/ha with 25 cm inter-row spacing. While the minimum grain yield (1690 kg/ha) was observed for the control treatment with 15 cm inter-row spacing (Table 4). In general, a further increase in N rate and row spacing increased grain yield of teff. An increase in grain yield due to the application of nitrogen rate and wider row spacing might have been due to the improvement of yield contributing characters like the number of effective tillers and panicle length (Table 3). Therefore, the higher the number of tillers, especially fertile tillers, the more will be the yield.

Grain yield was highly significantly (P<0.01) affected by the main effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates. The highest grain yield (3148.89 kg/ha) was obtained from plants that supplied with 97.5 kg N/ha and the lowest grain yield (2065.56 kg/ha) was obtained from the control. However, there was no significant difference between 32.5 and 65 kg N/ha (Table 4). In general, a further increase in nitrogen fertilizer rates increased grain yield. Increased grain yield due to increased N application was also reported for different cereal crops. Nitrogen supply directly or indirectly affects chlorophyll content, LAI, canopy coverage and other biophysical parameters [37].

Likewise, the main effect of row spacing was significantly (P<0.01) affected grain yield. The highest grain yield (2886.67 and 2839.17 kg/ha) was obtained from plants that planted at 20 and 25 cm row spacing, respectively. However, the lowest grain yield (2453.3 kg/ha) was obtained from 15 cm row spacing (Table 4). The results of this study were in line with those of Sultana [32] who reported that yields of cereals increased as the spacing between rows increased because plant populations are normally high in narrow spacing (15 cm).

3.9 Straw Yield

The analysis of variance indicated that the straw yield was affected significantly (P<0.01) by both, the main as well as by interaction effects (Table 5). The interaction effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and row spacing were significantly (P<0.01) affected the straw yield. The highest straw yield (9770 kg/ha) was obtained from crops that were applied at a rate of 97.5 kg N/ha with 25 cm inter-row spacing and this is statistically equal to the treatment of 97.5 kg N/ha with 20 cm row spacing (9456.7 kg/ha). While the lowest straw yield (6250 kg/ha) was obtained for the control with 20 cm inter-row spacing (Table 5). From this study, the straw yield increased significantly with
might be the plant height and number of effective straw yield obtained from wider row spacing increased (Table 5). In general, a further increase in row spacing increased straw yield (Table 5). The increase in straw yield in response to the application of N fertilizer might be due to the greater availability and uptake of the nutrients by plants, the induction of vigorous vegetative growth with more leaf area, and the higher photosynthesis and assimilates production for dry matter accumulation.

The main effect of row spacing was significantly (P≤0.01) affected by the main effects of the nitrogen fertilizer rate. The highest straw yield (9705.56 kg/ha) was attained from plants that were supplied with 97.5 kg N/ha and the lowest was obtained from the control treatment (Table 5). Similar to the results of this study, Rahman [38] reported that nitrogen influenced vegetative growth in terms of plant height and number of tillers (Table 2 and 2) which resulted in increased straw yield (Table 5). The increase in straw yield was caused by the greater availability and uptake of the nutrients by plants, the induction of vigorous vegetative growth with more leaf area, and the higher photosynthesis and assimilates production for dry matter accumulation.

The main effect of row spacing was significantly (P≤0.01) affected by the main effects of the nitrogen fertilizer rate. The highest straw yield (8131.67 kg/ha) was obtained from plots planted at 25 cm row spacing and the lowest straw yield (7774.17 and 7743.3 kg/ha) was obtained for 15 and 20 cm row spacings, respectively. However, there was no significant difference between 15 and 20 cm row spacings (Table 5). In general, a further increase in row spacing increased the straw yield. The highest straw yield obtained from wider row spacing might be the plant height and number of effective tillers. This result agrees with Yoseftabar [40], who reported that the straw yield was significantly influenced by the successive increase in-row spacing.

### 3.10 Harvest Index

The harvest index was significantly (P<0.01) affected by both, main as well as by interaction effects (Table 5). The interaction effect of N fertilizer rates and row spacing were also significantly (P≤0.01) affected the harvest index. Thus, the maximum harvest index (27.7%) was obtained from plants that were supplied a nitrogen fertilizer rate of 32.2 kg/ha with 20 cm spacing. Whereas, the lowest harvest index (21.00%) was observed for the control with 15 cm inter-row spacing (Table 5). The maximum harvest index was obtained for a low increase in N application and then decreased with an extra increase in N rates, this might be minor biomass partitioning to grain production.

The harvest index was significantly (P≤0.01) affected by the main effects of the nitrogen fertilizer rate. The highest harvest index (27.68 and 27.61%) was obtained from plants that supplied 32.5 and 65 kg N/ha, respectively. The lowest harvest index was obtained from plants that supplied nitrogen at 97.5 kg/ha (Table 5). In general, a further increase in the N rate decreased harvest index. This finding was in agreement that of Hasanuzzaman [31,40] who obtained higher harvest indexes in rice with low increased N rates and decreased with further increase in N application.

The harvest index was significantly (P≤0.01) affected by the main effects of the nitrogen fertilizer rate. The highest harvest index (27.68 and 27.61%) was obtained from plants that supplied 32.5 and 65 kg N/ha, respectively. The lowest harvest index was obtained from plants that supplied nitrogen at 97.5 kg/ha (Table 5). In general, a further increase in the N rate decreased harvest index. This finding was in agreement with those of Mahato [29] who

### Table 4. Grain yield (kg/ha) as affected by interaction as well as by the main effects of nitrogen rates and inter-row spacing in Limo District, SNNPR in 2017 main cropping season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N-rate (kg/ha)</th>
<th>BY</th>
<th>GY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Row spacing (cm)</td>
<td>Row spacing (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>8046.7</td>
<td>8423.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>9500.0d</td>
<td>10160.0d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>11336.7d</td>
<td>11313.3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>12026.7c</td>
<td>12623.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>10227.5c</td>
<td>10630b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** LCR: Mean of Least Critical Difference, CV: Coefficient of Variance, BY: Biomass Yield, GY: Grain Yield, means within the same column and within the same treatment category followed by the same superscript letters are not significantly different at 5% probability level.
Table 5. Straw yield (kg/ha) and harvest index (%) as affected by interaction as well as by the main effects of nitrogen rates and inter-row spacing in Limo District, SNNPR in 2017 main cropping season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N-rate (kg/ha)</th>
<th>SY</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>HI</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6356.7*</td>
<td>6250.0*</td>
<td>6293.3*</td>
<td>6308.89*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>7063.3*</td>
<td>7343.3*</td>
<td>7616.7*</td>
<td>7256.67*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>8453.3*</td>
<td>8210.0*</td>
<td>8403.3*</td>
<td>8261.11*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>9026.7*</td>
<td>9456.7*</td>
<td>9770.0*</td>
<td>9705.56*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7774.17*</td>
<td>7743.3*</td>
<td>8131.67*</td>
<td>7774.17*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Lodging index (%) as affected by interaction as well as by the main effects of nitrogen rates and inter-row spacing in Limo District, SNNPR in 2017 main cropping season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N-rate (kg/ha)</th>
<th>LI</th>
<th>Means of overall N-rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>43.2*</td>
<td>41.13*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>49.00*</td>
<td>45.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>48.5*</td>
<td>50.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>57.4*</td>
<td>54.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means of overall spacing</td>
<td>49.45*</td>
<td>47.88*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.11 Lodging Index

The analysis variance indicated that the main, as well as interaction effects, were significantly (P<0.01) influenced the lodging index (Table 6). The interaction effects of nitrogen fertilizer rates and row spacing were highly significantly influenced by the lodging index. The highest lodging index was observed from crops at the rate of 97.5 kg N/ha with 15 cm (57.17%) inter-row spacing. Whereas, the lowest lodging index (41.13%) was obtained from the control with 20 cm row spacing, with no difference to the control with 25 cm (41.43%) inter-row spacing (Table 6).

The main effect of N fertilizer rates was highly significantly (P=0.01) influenced the lodging index. The highest lodging index (54.9%) was obtained from plants supplied with 97.5 kg N/ha and the lowest lodging index (41.9%) was obtained from the control (Table 6). In general, a further increase in N application rates increased
the lodging index of teff. This could be due to the profound effect of high N supply on increasing vegetative growth thereby leading to bending of a weak stem of the plant due to the sheer load of the canopy. Similarly, Temesgen [27] obtained significant differences in the lodging percentage of tef due to N application.

Likewise, the main effect of row spacing was significantly affected lodging index. The highest lodging index (49.45%) was recorded from plants that planted at 15 cm row spacing and the lowest lodging index (48.63%) was noted from plants that planted at 25 cm inter-row spacing followed by 20 cm (Table 6). The highest lodging index due to narrow spacing might be the result of dense crop population and slight stem. The present result is in agreement with Alaunyte [14] who reported that row spacing for teff showed highly significant differences in lodging for narrow row spacing when there was an increase in lodging percentage.

4. CONCLUSION

Application of nitrogen and row spacing significantly influenced most of the plant phenology, growth parameters, yield and yield components of teff. Thus, the highest rate, i.e. 97.5 kg N/ha and wider spacing (25 cm) proved to be superior to the dose of the other with respect to enhancing most of these attributes/characters of the teff. Generally, the study revealed that the teff crop responded more to N fertilization and wider row spacing. This shows that 97.5 kg N/ha and 25 cm row spacing should be employed to increase the productivity of the crop rather than using 65 N kg/ha and 20 cm row spacing currently used in the study area. Therefore, taking the finding of the present study into consideration, it may be tentatively concluded that farmers in the Southern region may apply a combination of 97.5 kg N/ha with 25 cm row spacing to improve the grain yield of teff. Due attention needs to be given to the following issue and direction in the future research program: the present experiment has to be conducted for four seasons across locations of similar agroecology and soil type condition, for the recommendation of the appropriate N rate and row spacing on teff.
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